

2024-25 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

SIP Authority	1
I. School Information	3
A. School Mission and Vision	3
B. School Leadership Team	3
C. Stakeholder Involvement and Monitoring	5
D. Demographic Data	6
E. Early Warning Systems	7
II. Needs Assessment/Data Review	10
A. ESSA School, District, State Comparison	11
B. ESSA School-Level Data Review	12
C. ESSA Subgroup Data Review	13
D. Accountability Components by Subgroup	16
E. Grade Level Data Review	19
III. Planning for Improvement	20
IV. Positive Culture and Environment	
V. Title I Requirements (optional)	32
VI. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review	34
VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus	35

School Board Approval

This plan has not yet been approved by the Pinellas County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

ADDITIONAL TARGET SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

TARGETED SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parents), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), https://cims2.floridacims.org, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for:

- 1. Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and
- 2. Charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

SIP SECTIONS	TITLE I SCHOOLWIDE PROGRAM	CHARTER SCHOOLS
I.A: School Mission/Vision		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1)
I.B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring	ESSA 1114(b)	
I.E: Early Warning System	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
II.A-E: Data Review		6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2)
III.A: Data Analysis/Reflection	ESSA 1114(b)(6)	6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4)
III.B, IV: Area(s) of Focus	ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)	
V: Title I Requirements	ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5), (7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B) ESSA 1116(b-g)	

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. The printed version in CIMS represents the SIP as of the "Printed" date listed in the footer.

I. School Information

A. School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement

The Mission of Oakhurst Elementary School is to educate and inspire each student to reach maximum potential, become lifelong learners and responsible citizens through the Mustang Way.

Provide the school's vision statement

The Vision of Oakhurst Elementary is 100% student success

B. School Leadership Team

School Leadership Team

For each member of the school leadership team, enter the employee name, and identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as they relate to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.

Leadership Team Member #1

Employee's Name Kelly Kennedy

Position Title Principal

Job Duties and Responsibilities

Oversees the school, oversees the ILT, facilitates PD, MTSS process, oversees all budgets, SAC, PTA, Family Engagement, CST, Teacher evaluations and walk throughs, facilitates the School Leadership Team and the Instructional leadership team, Equity Champion

Leadership Team Member #2

Employee's Name Jenn Smith

Position Title Assistant Principal

Job Duties and Responsibilities

Learning Specialist, Instructional Leader, Testing Coordinator, PBIS Coordinator, MTSS Team Member, Equity Champion, CST Member

Leadership Team Member #3

Employee's Name Tracey Sanders

Position Title Behavior Specialist

Job Duties and Responsibilities

Behavior Specialist, PBIS Team Member, Equity Champion

Leadership Team Member #4

Employee's Name Erin Perez

Position Title School Psychologist

Job Duties and Responsibilities

MTSS Team Member, SBLT Leader

Leadership Team Member #5

Employee's Name Alexis Pratt

Position Title School Counselor

Job Duties and Responsibilities

MTSS Team Member, Mustang Round Up, Classroom Guidance, CST Member, 504 Coordinator, Equity Champion.

Leadership Team Member #6

Employee's Name Sarah Weber

Position Title Social Worker

Job Duties and Responsibilities

Leader of CST, Counseling Groups,

C. Stakeholder Involvement and Monitoring

Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders [including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders] and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESEA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

Kelly Kennedy collected feedback from families and staff members on the SIP plan throughout the 2023-2024 school year and used that feedback to create the 2024-2025 School Improvement Plan. The plan is to be presented to staff prior to the school year starting. The School Pinellas - 2921 - Oakhurst Elementary School - 2024-25 SIP Last Modified: 6/20/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 6 of 23 Improvement Plan will be presented to the School Advisory Council on the first meeting of the school year taking place on 8/20/24. This meeting will be open to all stakeholders, the required attendees will include: Kelly Kennedy (principal), Jennifer Smith (assistant principal), and Amy Mclaughlin (parent).

SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the state academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan with stakeholder feedback, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESEA 1114(b)(3))

Teachers will continuously monitor goals and outcomes based on the data as they will meet every third Tuesday of the month in their designated goal committees. The School Advisory Council will meet once a month after school hours on the third Tuesday of each month to discuss school goals and progress made towards reaching those goals as it pertains to the data collected.

D. Demographic Data

2024-25 STATUS (PER MSID FILE)	ACTIVE
SCHOOL TYPE AND GRADES SERVED (PER MSID FILE)	ELEMENTARY PK-5
PRIMARY SERVICE TYPE (PER MSID FILE)	K-12 GENERAL EDUCATION
2023-24 TITLE I SCHOOL STATUS	NO
2023-24 MINORITY RATE	26.2%
2023-24 ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED (FRL) RATE	58.8%
CHARTER SCHOOL	NO
RAISE SCHOOL	NO
2023-24 ESSA IDENTIFICATION *UPDATED AS OF 7/25/2024	N/A
ELIGIBLE FOR UNIFIED SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANT (UNISIG)	
2023-24 ESSA SUBGROUPS REPRESENTED (SUBGROUPS WITH 10 OR MORE STUDENTS) (SUBGROUPS BELOW THE FEDERAL THRESHOLD ARE IDENTIFIED WITH AN ASTERISK)	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (SWD) BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS (BLK) HISPANIC STUDENTS (HSP) MULTIRACIAL STUDENTS (MUL) WHITE STUDENTS (WHT) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS (FRL)
SCHOOL GRADES HISTORY *2022-23 SCHOOL GRADES WILL SERVE AS AN INFORMATIONAL BASELINE.	2023-24: A 2022-23: A* 2021-22: A 2020-21: 2019-20: A

E. Early Warning Systems

1. Grades K-8

Current Year 2024-25

Using 2023-24 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

INDICATOR			TOTAL							
INDICATOR	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	IUTAL
Absent 10% or more school days										0
One or more suspensions										0
Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA)										0
Course failure in Math										0
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment										0
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment										0
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. (only applies to grades K-3)										0
Number of students with a substantial mathematics defined by Rule 6A-6.0533, F.A.C. (only applies to grades K-4)										0

Current Year 2024-25

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

INDICATOR	GR		GRAI	DEL	EVE	L	TOTAL			
INDICATOR	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	TOTAL
Students with two or more indicators										0

Current Year 2024-25

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students retained:

INDICATOR			G	GRA	DE L	EVE	L			TOTAL
INDICATOR	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	IUIAL
Retained students: current year										0
Students retained two or more times										0

Prior Year (2023-24) As Last Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by grade level that exhibited each early warning indicator:

INDICATOR			TOTAL							
INDICATOR	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	IUIAL
Absent 10% or more school days										0
One or more suspensions										0
Course failure in ELA										0
Course failure in Math										0
Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment										0
Level 1 on statewide Math assessment										0
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency as defined by Rule 6A-6.0531, F.A.C. (only applies to grades K-3)										0

Prior Year (2023-24) As Last Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students by current grade level that had two or more early warning indicators:

INDICATOR			G	GRA	DE L	EVE	L			TOTAL
INDICATOR	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	IUIAL
Students with two or more indicators										0

Prior Year (2023-24) As Last Reported (pre-populated)

The number of students retained:

			G	GRA	DE L	EVE	L			TOTAL
INDICATOR	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	IUIAL
Retained students: current year										0
Students retained two or more times										0

2. Grades 9-12 (optional)

This section intentionally left blank because it addresses grades not taught at this school or the school opted not to include data for these grades.

II. Needs Assessment/Data Review (ESEA Section 1114(b)(6))

σ	
Π	
ີ່ດ້	•
ິ	
õ	
_	()
て て	
¥	O
ወ	
—	
5	
ю	()
—	~
	0
ดิ	_
~	
<u> </u>	0
Ś	ŏ
5	U
<u> </u>	
λ.	-
с С	
5	U
ā	
~	S
÷	-
מ	
۲.	A. ESSA School, District, State Comparison
	0
Ď	Ť,
≲	S.
<u>u</u>	4.
പ്	O
ā	H
0 0	0
õ	-
()	
÷	Ŷ
ລັ	
ž	
\leq	~
	0
Ч	
ወ	
R	_
Û	0
R	0)
9	2.
0 0	10
Ś	~
ወ	Ο
⊐.	Ĭ
<u> </u>	
÷	
<u>س</u>	
<u>a</u>	
ž	
<u>u</u>	
ai	
Ō	
Ð	
Ś	
Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar	
0	
-	
<u>s</u>	
⊐	
∟.	
0	
2	

school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. ar school types (elementary, middle, high

Data for 2023-24 had not been fully loaded to CIMIS at time of nrinting

		2024			2023			2022**	
ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENT	SCHOOL	DISTRICT [†]	STATE [†]	SCHOOL	DISTRICT [†]	STATE [†]	SCHOOL	DISTRICT [†]	STATE [†]
ELA Achievement *	70			64	54	53	69	55	56
ELA Grade 3 Achievement **	68			61	54	53			
ELA Learning Gains	71						69		
ELA Learning Gains Lowest 25%	57						53		
Math Achievement *	78			74	61	59	78	51	50
Math Learning Gains	81						74		
Math Learning Gains Lowest 25%	60						54		
Science Achievement *	84			74	62	54	71	62	59
Social Studies Achievement *								65	64
Graduation Rate								57	50
Middle School Acceleration								52	52
College and Career Readiness									80
					RA	ло			

Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation. In cases where a school does not test 95% or students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points

**Grade 3 ELA Achievement was added beginning with the 2023 calculation

[†] District and State data presented here are for schools of the same type: elementary, middle, high school, or combination.

B. ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

2023-24 ESSA FPPI	
ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)	N/A
OVERALL FPPI – All Students	71%
OVERALL FPPI Below 41% - All Students	No
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Total Points Earned for the FPPI	569
Total Components for the FPPI	8
Percent Tested	100%
Graduation Rate	

		ESSA C	VERALL FPPI I	HISTORY		
2023-24	2022-23	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20*	2018-19	2017-18
71%	68%	67%	60%		63%	57%

* Pursuant to Florida Department of Education Emergency Order No. 2020-EO-1 (PDF), spring K-12 statewide assessment test administrations for the 2019-20 school year were canceled and accountability measures reliant on such data were not calculated for the 2019-20 school year. In April 2020, the U.S. Department of Education provided all states a waiver to keep the same school identifications for 2019-20 as determined in 2018-19 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

C. ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

2023-24 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY

ESSA SUBGROUP	FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX	SUBGROUP BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32%
Students With Disabilities	51%	No		
Black/African American Students	52%	No		
Hispanic Students	82%	No		
Multiracial Students	85%	No		
White Students	74%	No		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	64%	No		
	2022-23 ESS	A SUBGROUP DATA	SUMMARY	
ESSA SUBGROUP	FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX	SUBGROUP BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32%
Students With Disabilities	36%	Yes	4	
Black/African	61%	No		

2022-23 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY

ESSA SUBGROUP	FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX	SUBGROUP BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32%
American Students				
Hispanic Students	54%	No		
Multiracial Students	81%	No		
White Students	71%	No		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	52%	No		
	2021-22 ESS	SA SUBGROUP DATA	SUMMARY	
ESSA SUBGROUP	FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX	SUBGROUP BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32%
Students With Disabilities	39%	Yes	3	
English Language Learners				
Native American Students				

2021-22 ESSA SUBGROUP DATA SUMMARY

ESSA SUBGROUP	FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX	SUBGROUP BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 41%	NUMBER OF CONSECUTIVE YEARS THE SUBGROUP IS BELOW 32%
Asian Students				
Black/African American Students	53%	No		
Hispanic Students	69%	No		
Multiracial Students	67%	No		
Pacific Islander Students				
White Students	69%	No		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	53%	No		

D. Accountability Components by Subgroup Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. (pre-populated)	tability indicates oopulated	y Com the schoo)	pone ol had les	nts by s than 10) eligible	group students	with data	for a par	rticular c	omponen	t and was	s not calcu	lated for
				2023-24 A(CCOUNTAB	ILITY COM	2023-24 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS	Y SUBGR	OUPS				
	ELA ACH.	GRADE 3 ELA ACH.	ELA	ELA LG L25%	MATH ACH.	MATH LG	MATH LG L25%	SCI ACH.	SS ACH.	MS ACCEL.	GRAD RATE 2022-23	C&C ACCEL 2022-23	ELP PROGRESS
All Students	70%	68%	71%	57%	78%	81%	60%	84%					
Students With Disabilities	39%	27%	59%	46%	53%	67%	63%	54%					
Black/African American Students	35%		64%		53%	55%							
Hispanic Students	71%		81%		75%	94%		%00					
Multiracial Students	77%				92%								
White Students	73%	70%	71%	63%	79%	81%	67%	88%					
Economically Disadvantaged Students	56%	58%	70%	60%	65%	70%	59%	73%					

Pinellas OAKHURST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2024-25 SIP

Alsoner Grade Stell Grad Stell Grad Stell <th< th=""></th<>					
INTERPRETIENCIMPONENTIENCUBROUPS GRAD ACH. ELA LSC MATH LSC MATH LSC NATH LSC SCI LSC SCI ACH. SCI ACH. <					
ACPL ANTH LG NATH LG SCI SCI <th< td=""></th<>					
INATH NATH NATH SCI SCI <th colspan="5" sci<="" t<="" td=""></th>					
MS RATE ACCEL 2021-22 2021-22					
MS RATE ACCEL 2021-22 2021-22					
MS RATE ACCEL 2021-22 2021-22					
MS RATE ACCEL 2021-22 2021-22					
MS RATE ACCEL 2021-22 2021-22					
MS RATE ACCEL 2021-22 2021-22					
GRAD C&C RATE ACCEL 2021-22 2021-22					
C&C ACCEL 2021-22					
PROGRESS					

Pinellas OAKHURST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2024-25 SIP

	Economically Disadvantaged Students	White Students	Pacific Islander Students	Multiracial Students	Hispanic Students	Black/African American Students	Asian Students	Native American Students	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	All Students		
	48%	73%		70%	60%	40%				37%	%69	ELA ACH.	
												GRADE 3 ELA ACH.	
	59%	70%		45%	77%	62%				44%	%69	ELA	
	38%	56%								43%	53%	ELA LG L25%	2021-22 A
	64%	81%		80%	66%	60%				44%	78%	MATH ACH.	CCOUNTAE
	68%	75%		73%	77%	54%				42%	74%	MATH LG	BILITY CON
	39%	55%								31%	54%	MATH LG L25%	2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS
	56%	75%			64%	50%				31%	71%	SCI ACH.	BY SUBGR
												SS ACH.	OUPS
												MS ACCEL	
												GRAD RATE 2020-21	
												C&C ACCEL 2020-21	
												ELP PROGRESS	
atad	. 08/06/20	124											f 26

Pinellas OAKHURST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2024-25 SIP

Printed: 08/06/2024

E. Grade Level Data Review – State Assessments (prepopulated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested or all tested students scoring the same.

Data for 2023-24 had not been loaded to CIMS at time of printing.

III. Planning for Improvement

A. Data Analysis/Reflection (ESEA Section 1114(b)(6))

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

Most Improvement

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Based on the 2023-2024 statewide assessments, the greatest improvement was made in science proficiency. Based on the statewide assessments, in 2023 science proficiency was at 71%. In 2024, science proficiency increased to 84%. This is a 13% increase. The cross curricular approaches supported this endeavor.

Lowest Performance

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

A data point that emerged requiring further support is our Students with Disabilities subgroup. The *SWD* subgroup is performing at 37%. This is below the required proficiency rate of 41%. All ASD/ ESE teachers were new to Oakhurst and the program. Losing an ASD unit in preschool caused our higher numbers of students than in years past. Higher numbers of students in each unit with teachers new to ASD was a barrier to teaching.

Greatest Decline

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Oakhurst did not have an area of decline but an area of concern. Our ELA L25 proficiency rate is 57%. We have seen only a slight increase from 53% in 2022.

Greatest Gap

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Oakhurst out-performed both the district and state among all three cells (ELA, Math, and Science).

EWS Areas of Concern

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

Further support is needed with the subgroup, students with disabilities (SWD) for ELA. This is also a priority for the upcoming school year for our ELA L25 students.

Highest Priorities

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Supporting the continuous growth of students with disabilities
- 2. Increasing learning gains in ELA with our L25.

B. Area(s) of Focus (Instructional Practices)

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

Area of Focus #1

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

To increase overall proficiency in ELA including our L25 group. We will focus on creating student centered classroom environments that lead to deep learning by increasing relevancy, activating prior knowledge and providing opportunities for authentic student engagement during ELA instruction. Students thrive in classrooms that promote curiosity, improvement, and risk-taking. By tapping into students' curiosities, relevance is created which results in not only higher levels of student engagement, but deeper and long-lasting learning. Learning becomes more meaningful when students not only know what they are learning, but why they are learning it. All students deserve to feel heard and valued in the classroom. Productive classrooms may buzz with conversation. When students contribute to the collective classroom experience, it motivates and engages them by creating a sense of belonging as well as the satisfaction of being appreciated for their thoughts and ideas. They are a valuable member of the classroom learning experience. Establishing clear expectations at the start of each lesson and providing timely, positive feedback during stretches of independent practice will ensure students build agency and confidence when tackling complex texts and tasks on their own.

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Proficiency in English Language Arts (ELA) will increase 9% (from 71% to 80%). Proficiency in 3rd grade ELA will increase 11% (from 69 to 80). Proficiency for our L25 ELA will increase by 5% (from 57% to 62%). Proficiency will be measured by the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking (FAST).

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Instructional Rounds during PLCs where teachers use a tool to track student engagement and student talk during ELA Core Instruction. Data chats and tracking of unit assessment data during

PLCs. Tracking of PM1, PM2, and PM3 Fast data.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Smith

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

Description of Intervention #1:

Collaborative Learning Academic Discourse Positive Relationships

Rationale:

Students thrive in classrooms that promote curiosity, improvement, and risk-taking. By tapping into students' curiosities, relevance is created which results in not only higher levels of student engagement, but deeper and long-lasting learning. Learning becomes more meaningful when students not only know what they are learning, but why they are learning it. All students deserve to feel heard and valued in the classroom. Productive classrooms may buzz with conversation. When students contribute to the collective classroom experience, it motivates and engages them by creating a sense of belonging as well as the satisfaction of being appreciated for their thoughts and ideas. They are a valuable member of the classroom learning experience. Establishing clear expectations at the start of each lesson and providing timely, positive feedback during stretches of independent practice will ensure students build agency and confidence when tackling complex texts and tasks on their own. Collaborative Learning and Academic Discourse provides a student-centered classroom and will support increasing ELA achievement.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG? No

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

Action Step #1

Planning for Collaboration

Person Monitoring: Smith

By When/Frequency:

Weekly

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

- Teachers will Plan for active learning opportunities to increase joy and satisfaction in learning for each student. - Increase opportunities for collaborative group work with academic discourse.

Area of Focus #2

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Our goal is to monitor whole group and small group instruction to ensure instruction is designed and implemented according to evidence-based principles. We want teachers to facilitate meaningful mathematical discourse. Effective teaching of mathematics facilitates discourse among students to build shared understanding of mathematical ideas by analyzing and comparing student approaches and arguments. This work leads to a student-centered classroom.

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

In Mathematics will increase student proficiency from 7% (from 78% to 85%), as measured by the Florida Assessment of Student Thinking (FAST).

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Walkthroughs during PLCs. We will use FAST and Module assessments to track progress.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Kelly Kennedy

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

Description of Intervention #1: Facilitate meaningful discourse-.

Rationale:

Effective teaching of mathematics facilitates discourse among students to build shared understanding of mathematical ideas by analyzing and comparing student approaches and arguments

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG? No

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention.

Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

Action Step #1

Kennedy

Promoting Math discourse during student centered lessons.

Person Monitoring:

By When/Frequency: on-going

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Employ instructional practices and routines that promote student-centered learning (Higher-Order Questioning, Pinellas Problem Solving Routine, Number Sense Making Routines, Collaborative structures, High-quality feedback and opportunities to use that feedback).

Area of Focus #3

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Utilize district curricular materials to create a common foundation of standards-aligned, rigorous expectations for all students.

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Proficiency in Science will increase 4% (from 84% to 88%), as measured by the Statewide Science Assessment (SSA)

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Use PCSB Unit assessments to track student progress.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome Kennedy

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

Description of Intervention #1:

Academic Discourse

Rationale:

Classroom discussion is a method of teaching, that involves the entire class in a discussion. The teacher stops lecturing and students get together as a class to discuss an important issue. Classroom discussion allows students to improve communication skills by voicing their opinions and thoughts. Teachers also benefit from classroom discussion as it allows them to see if students have learnt the concepts that are being taught. Moreover, a classroom discussion creates an environment where everyone learns from each other. This will enhance student-centered rich conversations around the standard/learning target, providing students opportunities to analyze and apply their learning through discourse.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG? No

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention.

Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

Action Step #1

Collaborative Discourse around Science.

Person Monitoring:

By When/Frequency:

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Provide all students with consistent opportunities to use collaborative discourse in science and engage in complex, grade-level content and activities aligned to the rigor of the standard/benchmark...

Action Step #2

Write to Learn

Person Monitoring: Kennedy

By When/Frequency:

on-going

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Students will write in journals using academic language and will show mastery/understanding of the benchmark/standard that was taught in science.

Action Step #3 Vocabulary Enrichment

Person Monitoring: Kennedy

By When/Frequency: on-going

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action

step:

Teachers will provide opportunities for students to interact with science vocabulary. Students will be exposed to vocabulary on the morning news show, participate in a gaming such as a scavenger hunt and Vocabulary Bingo.

Area of Focus #4

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

ESSA Subgroups specifically relating to Students With Disabilities (SWD)

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Ensure small group instruction and 1:1 specially designed instruction is designed and implemented in alignment with evidence-based practices

Multi-sensory instruction uses visual, auditory, kinesthetic-tactile modalities in acquisition of reading skills. Direct and explicit instruction includes modeling of the skills along with guided practice until mastery is achieved; direct explanations and clearly explained skills comprises explicit instruction; teachers are clear, unambiguous, direct and visible—until students meet mastery. Systematic instruction includes breaking lessons into sequential and manageable steps that go from simple to complex skill. This was identified through FAST data.

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Currently our SWDs are at 37% proficiency in ELA. We would like them to achieve 45% proficiency based on FAST PM3 2025 data.

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Administration and ILT will use walkthroughs and data sources to monitor the use of appropriate practices and scaffolding to ensure students' needs are met.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Smith

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA

Section 8101(21)(B)).

Description of Intervention #1:

Ensure small group instruction and 1:1 specially designed instruction is designed and implemented in alignment with evidence-based practice.

Rationale:

Rationale for Evidence Based Strategy: Multi-sensory instruction uses visual, auditory, kinesthetictactile modalities in acquisition of reading skills. Direct and explicit instruction includes modeling of the skills along with guided practice until mastery is achieved; direct explanations and clearly explained skills comprises explicit instruction; teachers are clear, unambiguous, direct and visible—until students meet mastery. Systematic instruction includes breaking lessons into sequential and manageable steps that go from simple to complex skills

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG? No

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

Action Step #1

Monitor the SWD ELA Plan

Person Monitoring: Smith

By When/Frequency: on-going

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Monitor the use of appropriate practices and scaffolding to ensure students' needs are met Participate in professional development associated with utilizing a multi-sensory, direct, explicit way of teaching

Area of Focus #5

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

ESSA Subgroups specifically relating to Black/African American Students (BLK)

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Ensure small group instruction and 1:1 specially designed instruction is designed and implemented in alignment with evidence-based practices

Multi-sensory instruction uses visual, auditory, kinesthetic-tactile modalities in acquisition of reading skills. Direct and explicit instruction includes modeling of the skills along with guided practice until mastery is achieved; direct explanations and clearly explained skills comprises explicit instruction; teachers are clear, unambiguous, direct and visible—until students meet mastery. Systematic

instruction includes breaking lessons into sequential and manageable steps that go from simple to complex skill

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Currently our Black students are at 41% proficiency in ELA. We would like them to achieve 55% proficiency based on PM3 2025 data.

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Administration and ILT will use walkthroughs and data sources to monitor the use of appropriate practices and scaffolding to ensure students' needs are met.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Smith

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

Description of Intervention #1:

Ensure small group instruction and 1:1 specially designed instruction is designed and implemented in alignment with evidence-based practice

Rationale:

Rationale for Evidence Based Strategy: Multi-sensory instruction uses visual, auditory, kinesthetictactile modalities in acquisition of reading skills. Direct and explicit instruction includes modeling of the skills along with guided practice until mastery is achieved; direct explanations and clearly explained skills comprises explicit instruction; teachers are clear, unambiguous, direct and visible—until students meet mastery. Systematic instruction includes breaking lessons into sequential and manageable steps that go from simple to complex skills

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG? No

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

Action Step #1

Monitor the BTGP ELA Plan

Person Monitoring: Smith

By When/Frequency: on-going

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Monitor the use of appropriate practices and scaffolding to ensure students' needs are met Participate in professional development associated with utilizing a multi-sensory, direct, explicit way of teaching

IV. Positive Culture and Environment

Area of Focus #1

Student Attendance

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus for each relevant grade level, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Based on the Early Warning Systems collected from the 2023-2024 school year displays 18% of students that are absent more than 10% of the time. This Early Warning Indicator can directly impact student achievement; therefore student engagement and positive behavior strategies will be implemented to increase student attendance and decrease other affected early warning indicators.

Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Increase overall student attendance rates and decrease number of students that are absent more than 10% of the time from 18% of students to 10%.

Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

In order to monitor the desired outcome, the Child Study Team (CST) will meet twice a month to monitor student attendance rates and monitor students with Early Warning Indicators. Necessary steps will be made to reach out to families regarding attendance, including but not limited to phone calls, letters, and celebratory correspondence with attendance rates increase. In addition, data chats

and PLCs with instructional staff members will be utilized to measure this desired outcome.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Sarah Weber

Evidence-based Intervention:

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the

measurable outcomes, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the

identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

Description of Intervention #1:

Positive behavior strategies implemented within the classroom to increase connections and relationships to empower students to increase attendance rates. PLCs among staff members to analyze and disaggregate the data for students with multiple Early Warning Indicators.

Rationale:

This strategy was selected to improve student attendance rates and support students with multiple Early Warning Indicators while ultimately increasing the positive learning experience for all students.

Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG? No

Action Steps to Implement:

Action Step #1 Attendance, Attitude, Achievement

Person Monitoring: Sarah Weber By When/Frequency:

on-going

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Implement positive behavior support strategies to better support the Tier 1 needs of the school around attendance.

V. Title I Requirements (optional)

A. Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP)

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in ESEA Section 1114(b). This section of the SIP is not required for non-Title I schools.

Dissemination Methods

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership, and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESEA 1114(b)(4))

List the school's webpage where the SIP is made publicly available.

No Answer Entered

Positive Relationships With Parents, Families and other Community Stakeholders

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage where the school's Parental and Family Engagement Plan (PFEP) is made publicly available. (ESEA 1116(b-g))

No Answer Entered

Plans to Strengthen the Academic Program

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part II of the SIP. (ESEA Section 1114(b)(7)ii)) No Answer Entered

How Plan is Developed

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESEA Sections 1114(b)(5) and 1116(e)(4))

No Answer Entered

B. Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

Components of the Schoolwide Program Plan, as applicable

Include descriptions for any additional, applicable strategies that address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging state academic standards which may include the following:

Improving Student's Skills Outside the Academic Subject Areas

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

No Answer Entered

Preparing for Postsecondary Opportunities and the Workforce

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II)) No Answer Entered

Addressing Problem Behavior and Early Intervening Services

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III)). No Answer Entered

Professional Learning and Other Activities

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESEA section 11149b)(7)(iii(V)). No Answer Entered

Strategies to Assist Preschool Children

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V)) No Answer Entered

VI. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review

This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI (ESEA Sections 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C) and 1114(b)(6)).

Process to Review the Use of Resources

Describe the process to review the use of resources to meet the identified needs of students.

No Answer Entered

Specifics to Address the Need

Identify the specific resource(s), rationale (i.e., data) and plan to address the need(s) (i.e., timeline). No Answer Entered

VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus

Check if this school is eligible for 2024-25 UniSIG funds but has chosen not to apply.

No