

Preliminary Report on Baseline Data for

Headsprout Early Reading Program

Pinellas County Schools

Research and Accountability

May 2008

**Preliminary Report on Baseline Data
for Headsprout Early Reading Program
Research and Accountability
Pinellas County Schools
April 29, 2008**

Introduction

Headsprout Early Reading is an online reading program designed to teach non-readers and struggling Pre-K to Grade 3 readers the essential skills and strategies required for reliable reading success.

A widely-used measure to assess such reading success is the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Reading Literacy Skills (DIBELS), a series of short tests administered in the fall, winter, and spring to children in kindergarten through third grade. Depending on grade level, students are tested on one or more of the five areas of Letter Naming, Initial Sounds, Phoneme Segmentation, Nonsense Words, and Oral Reading. Scores are then categorized into four “risk levels”: high risk, moderate risk, low risk, and above average, color coded red, yellow, green, and blue, respectively. The range of scores defining these categories change according to grade level, subject area, and timing of test administration. The details are given in Appendix A, DIBELS Risk Levels Chart.

Headsprout Early Reading program consists of 80 episodes, divided into four groups of increasing difficulty. The program’s expected effect on DIBELS performance at the completion of each lesson group is given below:

| <u><i>Lesson Group</i></u> | <u><i>Expected effect on DIBELS performance</i></u> |
|----------------------------|--|
| 1-23 | None |
| 24-40 | Improvement in Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF) |
| 41-56 | Improvement in Nonsense Word Fluency (NWF); Continued improvement in PSF |
| 57-80 | Improvement in Oral Reading Fluency (ORF); Continued improvement in PSF and NWF |

Table 1. Headsprout Early Reading expected effect on DIBELS performance

The present study presents results on baseline data for a group of Pinellas students who participated in Headsprout’s Early Reading program in the school year 2006-2007. In the absence of clear and precise guidance from Headsprout as to what constitutes “improvement” or “continued improvement,” this report will interpret either of these expectations as an improvement (that is, a decrease) in pre- and post-test DIBELS risk level for each of the Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF), Nonsense Word Fluency (NWF), and Oral Reading Fluency (ORF) areas. Such a one-group pre- and post-test design by nature does not lend itself to accurate or reliable results. Thus, in reporting the percentages of students in each group and grade level achieving improvement in these three areas, no claim is made regarding the effectiveness of the program in meeting the above expectations on DIBELS performance.

Data

As mentioned in the Introduction, the subjects in this study are the students identified by Headsprout as participants of its Early Reading program during the school year 2006-2007. In accordance with the program's expected outcomes as given in Table 1, a student must satisfy the 24-episode minimum completion requirement to be included in the study. The following table gives the number of students grouped according to grade level and the number of episodes completed.

| <i>Group</i> | <i>KG</i> | <i>1st</i> | <i>2nd</i> | <i>3rd</i> | <i>Total</i> |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1 (24 – 40 episodes completed) | 187 | 110 | 93 | 36 | 426 |
| 2 (41 – 56 episodes completed) | 83 | 103 | 90 | 13 | 289 |
| 3 (57 – 80 episodes completed) | 310 | 342 | 205 | 82 | 939 |
| <i>Total</i> | 580 | 555 | 388 | 131 | 1,654 |

Table 2. Classification of students according to grade level and number of episodes completed

The following table gives the test administration from which pre- and post scores for the three DIBELS areas under consideration were derived, together with the number of students that have valid pre- and post-test scores for each subject area, according to grade level.

| <i>Grade</i> | <i>PSF</i> | | | <i>NWF</i> | | | <i>ORF</i> | | |
|--------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|
| | <i>Pre</i> | <i>Post</i> | <i>n</i> | <i>Pre</i> | <i>Post</i> | <i>n</i> | <i>Pre</i> | <i>Post</i> | <i>n</i> |
| Kindergarten | Winter | Spring | 550 | Winter | Spring | 550 | | | |
| 1st | Fall | Spring | 511 | Fall | Spring | 511 | Winter | Spring | 510 |
| 2nd | | | | Fall | Spring | 351 | Fall | Spring | 359 |
| 3rd | | | | | | | Fall | Spring | 119 |

Table 3. Pre- and post-test score sources by subject area and grade level

Results

The presentation of the results is aligned with the table of expected effect on DIBELS performance, as described in the Introduction. That is, students' pre- and post-test risk level change in each DIBELS area targeted by Headsprout Early Reading Program, namely Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF), Nonsense Word Fluency (NWF), and Oral Reading Fluency (ORF), is considered by group (according to number of completed episodes), by expected effect on DIBELS performance, and by grade level.

The complete list of these subgroups of students is given below. In each subgroup, the number of students, as well as the number and percentage of students who achieved improvement from pre-test to post-test, are presented. This is done by subject area in which an improvement on DIBELS performance is expected. Improvement is achieved if the risk level at pre-test is higher than the risk level at post-test.

Results for Group 1: 24 – 40 episodes completed

Expected effect on DIBELS performance:

- Improvement in PSF

| | # Students | # improved | % improved |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Kindergarten | 183 | 51 | 28% |
| <u>First grade</u> | <u>105</u> | <u>40</u> | <u>38%</u> |
| Combined | 288 | 91 | 32% |

Results for Group 2: 41 – 56 episodes completed

Expected effect on DIBELS performance:

- Improvement in NWF

| | # Students | # improved | % improved |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Kindergarten | 80 | 17 | 21% |
| First grade | 96 | 27 | 28% |
| <u>Second grade</u> | <u>86</u> | <u>54</u> | <u>63%</u> |
| Combined | 262 | 98 | 37% |

- Improvement in PSF

| | # Students | # improved | % improved |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Kindergarten | 80 | 24 | 30% |
| <u>First grade</u> | <u>96</u> | <u>40</u> | <u>42%</u> |
| Combined | 176 | 64 | 36% |

Results for Group 3: 57 – 80 episodes completed

Expected effect on DIBELS performance:

- Improvement in ORF

| | # Students | # improved | % improved |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| First grade | 317 | 37 | 12% |
| Second grade | 187 | 12 | 6% |
| <u>Third grade</u> | <u>72</u> | <u>22</u> | <u>31%</u> |
| Combined | 576 | 71 | 12% |

- Improvement in NWF

| | # Students | # improved | % improved |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Kindergarten | 287 | 86 | 30% |
| First grade | 310 | 89 | 29% |

| | | | |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| <u>Second grade</u> | 184 | 112 | 61% |
| Combined | 781 | 287 | 37% |

- Improvement in PSF

| | # Students | # improved | % improved |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Kindergarten | 287 | 95 | 33% |
| <u>First grade</u> | 310 | 116 | 37% |
| Combined | 597 | 287 | 37% |

The following are some of the observations that may be obtained directly from the above results.

- The percentage of students achieving improvement from pre-test to post-test varies from a low of 6% in Oral Reading Fluency for 2nd grade students who have completed between 57 to 80 episodes of the program, to a high of 63% in Nonsense Word Fluency for 2nd grade students who have completed between 41 to 56 episodes.
- The percentage of students showing pre-test to post-test improvement in kindergarten Phoneme Segmentation Fluency appears to increase with the number of episodes completed. This trend is not present, however, for 1st grade students, or for the combined group of kindergarten and 1st grade students.
- The same may be said about the percentage of kindergarten and 1st grade students achieving pre-test to post-test improvement in Nonsense Word Fluency for, but not for 2nd grade students, nor for the combined group of kindergarten, 1st, and 2nd grade students.
- With regard to Oral Reading Fluency, the highest percentage of students showing pre-test to post-test improvement are 3rd grade students.

Conclusion and Recommendation

As stated in the Introduction, the results presented in this report are solely for use as baseline data. They are not subject to statistical analysis that could meaningfully answer the question as to whether Headsprout's Early Reading program is meeting its stated expected effects on DIBELS performance.

Of interest for future evaluation of the program beyond the initial year of implementation is a more detailed study of Headsprout's Early Reading program itself. The program does not appear to differentiate between grade levels because the expected effect on DIBELS performance increases only with the number of episodes completed. On the other hand, DIBELS risk levels, when used to measure improvement, are ladderized in nature and depend not only on grade level but also on the area of fluency being measured and the timing of the measurement. Therefore, the appropriateness of the expected effects on DIBELS performance as a result of exposure to the program must be carefully studied within the context of the structure and objectives both of the program and DIBELS. Only then could a rigorous assessment of the program's effectiveness be undertaken. Alongside this assessment, an evaluation of fidelity of implementation must also be considered.

Appendix A

DIBELS Risk Levels Chart, taken from
http://www.fcrr.org/assessment/pdf/benchmarks/K-3_Benchmark_Chart.pdf

DIBELS Risk Levels Chart

| | Kindergarten | | | First | | | Second | | | Third | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| | Fall | Winter | Spring | Fall | Winter | Spring | Fall | Winter | Spring | Fall | Winter | Spring |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Initial Sounds Fluency | 0-3 | 0-9 | HR | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4-7 | 10-24 | MR | | | | | | | | | |
| | 8-11 | 25-33 | LR | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12+ | 34+ | AA | | | | | | | | | |
| Letter Naming Fluency | 0-1 | 0-14 | 0-28 | 0-24 | HR | | | | | | | |
| | 2-7 | 15-26 | 29-39 | 25-36 | MR | | | | | | | |
| | 8-16 | 27-35 | 40-49 | 37-46 | LR | | | | | | | |
| | 17+ | 36+ | 50+ | 47+ | AA | | | | | | | |
| Phoneme Segmentation Fluency | | 0-6 | 0-9 | 0-9 | 0-9 | 0-9 | HR | | | | | |
| | | 7-17 | 10-34 | 10-34 | 10-34 | 10-34 | MR | | | | | |
| | | 18-33 | 35-47 | 35-41 | 35-49 | 35-54 | LR | | | | | |
| | | 34+ | 48+ | 42+ | 50+ | 55+ | AA | | | | | |
| Nonsense Word Fluency | | 0-4 | 0-14 | 0-12 | 0-29 | 0-29 | 0-29 | 0-29 | 0-29 | HR | | |
| | | 5-12 | 15-24 | 13-23 | 30-49 | 30-49 | 30-49 | 30-49 | 30-49 | MR | | |
| | | 13-21 | 25-34 | 24-31 | 50-54 | 50-71 | 50-71 | 50-71 | 50-71 | LR | | |
| | | 22+ | 35+ | 32+ | 55+ | 72+ | 72+ | 72+ | 72+ | AA | | |
| Oral Reading Fluency | | | HR | 0-1 | 0-7 | 0-19 | 0-25 | 0-51 | 0-69 | 0-52 | 0-66 | 0-79 |
| | | | MR | 2-6 | 8-19 | 20-39 | 26-43 | 52-67 | 70-89 | 53-76 | 67-91 | 80-109 |
| | | | LR | 7+ | 20-33 | 40-64 | 44-65 | 68-89 | 90-108 | 77-96 | 92-109 | 110-128 |
| | | | AA | NA | 34+ | 65+ | 66+ | 90+ | 109+ | 97+ | 110+ | 129+ |

HR - High Risk: Seriously below grade level and in need of substantial intervention

MR - Moderate Risk: Moderately below grade level and in need of additional intervention

LR - Low Risk: At grade level

AA - Above Average: At or above the 60th percentile

Effective: July 2006

Revised: 09/05/2006

Note: Pending future research, ORF Fall assessment in first grade is not color coded on this chart as an indication of risk status. Estimated levels of risk will be identified within the PMRN, however.