# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School:
District:
Principal:
SAC Chair:
Superintendent:
School Board Approval Date
Last Modified on:

1211 - Fairmount Park Elementary School
52 - Pinellas
Nina Pollauf
Lisa Lugo
Dr. Michael A Grego
(pending)
10/30/2013



Pam Stewart, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

	Physical	Mailing
Address:	575 41ST ST S St Petersburg, FL 33711	575 41ST ST S St Petersburg, FL 33711
Phone Number:	727-893-2132	
Web Address:	http://www.fairmount-es.pinellas.k12.fl.us	
Email Address:	1211.principal@pcsb.org	

School Type:		Elementa	ry School	
Alternative:		Ν	lo	
Charter:		Ν	lo	
Title I:		Ye	es	
Free/Reduced Lunch:		98	3%	
Minority:		92	2%	
School Grade History:	<u>2012-13</u> F	2011-12 D	2010-11 D	<u>2009-10</u> F

NOTE

Sections marked N/A in the online application have been excluded from this document. For privacy reasons, data representing fewer than 10 students or teachers have been excluded from this document

School Information	
School-Level Information	
School Fairmount Park El	ementary Schl
Principal's name Nina Po	ollauf
School Advisory Counci	I chair's name Lisa Lugo
Names and position title	s of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)
Name	Title
Benigna Pollauf	Principal
Michael Rebman	Assistant Principal
Randria Williams	Assistant Principal
Charolette Bryant	Curriculum Resource Teacher
Katy Foley	MTSS Coach
Wayne Tucker	Math/Science Resource Teacher
<b>District-Level Information</b>	
District Pinellas	
Superintendent's name [	Dr. Michael A Grego
Date of school board ap	proval of SIP Pending
	<b>c)</b> s of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b s of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b
Principal - Nina Pollauf Community Representative - Teacher - Jennifer Paradis Teacher - Jennifer Loomis Support Services - Lisa Lugo	a Baker
Parent Representative - Kesh Parent Representative - Rosh Parent Representative - Dom Parent Representative - Ulas Parent Representative - Vane	inique Speights Butler

•Revie	ew/Title 1 Parent Involvement	Plan and budget	
	ew Title 1 Compacts e pertinent information from D	istrict Londorship mostings	
	ew Title 1 Parent Survey Rest		
	ribe the projected use of sc project	nool improvement funds and ir	nclude the amount allocated to
•Com	mon Core Resource Reference	es (Common Core Lesson Book sing Common Core Standards 1	K-5, Common Core Writing Book) per grade level. \$2,601.00
estab		oliance with Section 1001.452, ol Advisory Council by selecti	
lf no,	describe the measures beir	ng taken to comply with SAC re	equirements
This section 20 U.S.C. This section	§ 6314(b).	ctions 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)( ctions 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(	
Admi	nistrators		
# 4	Administrators 3		
# F	Receiving Effective rating or	higher (not entered because ba	asis is < 10)
Ad	ministrator Information:		
Ni	na Pollauf		
Pr	incipal	Years as Administrator: 14	Years at Current School: 0
Cr	redentials	B.S. Elementary Education M.S. in Educational Leadership	
Pe	erformance Record	12 (Northwest Elementary)	06, 07/08, 08/09, 09/10, 10/11, 11/ 07, 12/13 (Northwest Elementary)
Mi	ichael Rebman		
As	st Principal	Years as Administrator: 4	Years at Current School: 4
Cr	redentials	B.S. Criminal Justice and Socio M.S. Counseling and Human R Post Masters Certification Educ	esources
Pe	erformance Record	Earned School Grade of D: 10/ Elementary) Earned School Grade of F: 09/1 Elementary)	·

Randria Williams				
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 0	Years at Current School: 0		
Credentials	B.S. Elementary Education, M.A. Adult Education, Certif Levels)	Certification Grades (1-6) ication in Educational Leadership (A		
Performance Record	NA			
structional Coaches				
# Instructional Coaches 3	3			
# Receiving Effective rati	ng or higher (not entered because	e basis is < 10)		
Instructional Coach Infor Christine Rose	mation:			
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 4	Years at Current School: 0		
Areas	Reading/Literacy			
Credentials	(Grades K – 3), Specific Lea	des 1 – 6), Primary Education arning Disabilities (Grades K – 12), des K – 12), Reading Endorsement		
Performance Record	11/12 Reading Coach at We Northwest (School Grade of Skycrest (School Grade of A 12/13 Reading Coach at Bla (School Grade of B), Bardm	09/10, 10/11 Reading Coach at Fuguitt: School Grade of an A 11/12 Reading Coach at Westgate (School Grade of A), Northwest (School Grade of A), Lynch (School Grade of B), and Skycrest (School Grade of A) 12/13 Reading Coach at Blanton (School Grade of C), Westgate (School Grade of B), Bardmoor (School Grade of B), and Gulfport (School Grade of D)		
Kathryn Gualtieri				
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 0	Years at Current School: 0		
Areas	Mathematics			
Credentials	B. S. Elementary Education ESOL	(K – 6)		
Performance Record	NA			
Tracy Staley				
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 0	Years at Current School: 0		
Areas	Science			
Credentials	B.S. Elementary Education Masters – Curriculum Instru	ction with Technology Specializatior		
Performance Record				
assroom Teachers				

	# Highly Qualified Teacher (HQT), as defined in 20 U.S.C. § 7801(23) 98%
	# certified in-field, pursuant to Section 1012.2315(2), F.S. 57, 100%
	# ESOL endorsed 15, 26%
	# reading endorsed 11, 19%
	# with advanced degrees 16, 28%
	# National Board Certified 1, 2%
	# first-year teachers 23, 40%
	# with 1-5 years of experience 16, 28%
	# with 6-14 years of experience 10, 18%
	# with 15 or more years of experience 8, 14%
E	ducation Paraprofessionals, pursuant to s. 1012.01(2)(e)
	# of paraprofessionals 0
	# Highly Qualified, as defined in 20 U.S.C. § 6319(c) 0
0	Other Instructional Personnel
	# of instructional personnel not captured in Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Classroom Teachers or Education Paraprofessionals 13
	# receiving effective rating or higher 100, 769%
T 6: T	<b>Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies</b> his section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. 314(b). his section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. 314(b).
	Describe your school's strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field effective teachers to the school; include the person responsible. •School-Based PD - Common Core Implementation / Diversity Training / SW Discipline Plan •School-Wide PLC Meetings (Weekly) – PMP Development, MTSS Process, 504 Accommodations, Data Review •IPDP (Deliberate Practice) Development •Common Planning Blocks (50 minutes daily) •Grade Level PLC Meetings (Minimum 3X per week) •Vertical Grade Level Articulation Meetings (Reading & Math) •Job Embedded PD (Coaches) - CCSS Literacy, Math and Science / Behavior Management Technology Integration •Grade Level (TDE) Data Review Meetings - 3X per year •Academic/Behavior MTSS Weekly Meetings •Walk-Through Feedback Forms
T at T	<b>Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan</b> his section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, co t 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). his section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, co t 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe your school's teacher mentoring program/plan including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities
and the planned mentoring activities Each new teacher will be assigned a mentor/collaborative partner. Planned activities include observation of mentee's instruction with feedback, planning sessions with mentee, connecting lesson activities to common core standards, discussing student progress, analyzing student work, and modeling or co-teaching lessons. Mentor/Developing Teacher Pairings: Lecia Ackerman – Leah Veal (Kindergarten) Emily Westerfield – Courtney Mehlenbacher (Kindergarten) Beth Woodard – Desirae Spaniel (Kindergarten) Latoya Rushing – Rachel Molina (1st grade) Michael Krajnik – Carolyn Dano (1st grade) Cathryn Raiola – Olivia Huff (1st grade) Amanda Dryden – Brandy Walker (1st grade) Maxine Robinson – Erin Edenfield (2nd grade) Kourtney Bell – Tara Jennelle (2nd grade) Chris Baker – Laura Schick (2nd grade) Kristin Vermillion – Melissa Manzi (2nd grade) Katy Foley – Allie Whitely (2nd grade) Jennifer Paradis – Cristiana Fryberger (3rd grade) Emily Loggins – Maggie Allen (3rd grade) Charolette Bryant – Yerelyn Vega (3rd grade)
Heather Duncan – Melinda Creamer (3rd grade)
Carole Cassidy – Kariann Stevens (4th grade) Kelly Dorsey- Jennifer Loomis (4th grade)
Katie Gualtiera – Leah Mello (4th grade) Sam Mincey – Cindy Mishoe (5th grade) Collaborative Partners
Cristina Austin – Holly Polson (Speech), Chelsea Horsman (Speech)
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI) This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).
This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).
Describe your school's data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of your MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs The SBLT will be responsible for managing and coordinating these efforts between all school teams as well as reviewing and revising the School Improvement Plan.
What is the function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to the school's MTSS and the SIP?
Nina Pollauf - Principal, Randria Williams - Assistant Principal, Michael Rebman - Assistant Principal, Charolette Bryant - Curriculum Resource Teacher, Katy Foley - MTSS Coach (Data Manager), Wayne Tucker - Math/Science Intervention Hourly Teacher, Chris Rose - Literacy Coach (Data Manager), Heather Duncan - Literacy Coach (Data Manager), Tracy Staley - Science Coach (Data Manager), Katie Gualtieri - Math Coach (Data Manager), Cathryn Raiola - Behavior Specialist (Data Manager), Jesse Steif - Psychologist (Facilitator), Marcia Youngerman - Social Worker, Kristin Vermillion – - Guidance Counselor, ESE teacher, General Education Teacher.

Describe the systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP
The SBLT will be responsible for managing and coordinating these efforts between all school teams as well as reviewing and revising the School Improvement Plan.
Describe the data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) We will monitor the effectiveness of core instruction by utilizing Walkthroughs with substantive feedback Baseline Data: FLKRS, PMRN, AIMSweb, DIBELS, PCAS, FCAT, EDS, PCS Focus Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Lexia Data Reports (Tier 2), AIMSweb (Tier 3), ST Math Data Reports (Tier 2), Running Records, Curriculum Based Measurement, PCAS Common Assessments, FCAT simulation, EDS, PCS Focus
Midyear: FAIR, PCAS Common Assessment, PCS Focus, EDS
End of Year: FAIR, PCAS, FCAT, EDS, PCS Focus Tier 2 interventions will be considered effective if 75% of students have a positive response to intervention. Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) and PCAS/Common Assessment will be utilized as universal screening in reading, writing, math and science across grade levels. Office/Classroom referral data will be utilized as ongoing progress monitoring to measure effective of Tier 2 behavior interventions. EDS and PCS Focus will be utilized to manage system data school wide.
Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based proble
solving for staff and parents MTSS Coach and Psychologist gave an overview of MTSS to staff in August. They reviewed the Academic and Behavior process for Fairmount Park. Follow-up professional development will occur during PLCs through practical application of presented skills with facilitation and support by the MTS team. Susan Schilt, a district MTSS Staff Developer provides support for our behavior coach and the MTSS team.
Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities
This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).
Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning tir and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:
Strategy: Extended Day for All Students
Minutes added to school year: 10,800
Strategy Purpose(s) Instruction in core academic subjects
Strategy Description Lengthening of the school day by 60 minutes to provide an additional hour of literacy instruction/ integration across the content area.
How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy? Running Record data, FAIR data, LLI data, Lexia data will be collected and analyzed at MTSS and Data Review Meetings
Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy? The School Based Leadership Team will monitor the implementation of the extended day.

#### Strategy: Summer Program

#### Minutes added to school year: 12,600

#### Strategy Purpose(s)

Enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education

#### **Strategy Description**

Providing a summer Bridge to Success Science Camp in partnership with USFSP

#### How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

We will compare baseline science PCAS data of students who attended the camp in summer of 2013 to students who did not attend.

#### Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

The School Based Leadership Team and Science Coach will monitor the implementation of the Bridge to Success Science Camp.

#### Strategy: Before or After School Program

#### Minutes added to school year: 540

#### Strategy Purpose(s)

Instruction in core academic subjects, Enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education

#### **Strategy Description**

Offer the Mad Science extended learning program to 40 targeted fifth grade students. If there are any open slots, they will be offered to targeted fourth grade students.

#### How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Pre and post assessment data fiven by the Mad Science program will be collected and analyzed by the Science Coach and School Based Leadership Team. Science FCAT data will also be analyzed.

#### Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

The School Based Leadership Team will monitor the implementation of the Mad Science extended learning program.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

Name	Title
Benigna Pollauf	Principal
Michael Rebman	Assistant Principal
Randria Williams	Assistant Principal
Charolette Bryant	Curriculum Resource Teacher
Katy Foley	MTSS Coach
Christine Rose	Literacy Coach
Heather Duncan	Literacy Coach

# Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes, roles, functions)

The Literacy Leadership Team creates capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

Support for text complexity

Support for instructional skills

•To improve reading comprehension ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and

rorooding of tout is control to los				
rereading of text is central to les •Providing scaffolding that does asking text dependent questions their answers based upon evide opportunities	not preempt or rep s from a range of qu	uestion types, emp	hasizing stude	nts supporting
<ul> <li>Support for implementation of c and technical subjects focusing</li> </ul>			acy in social stu	idies, science,
What will be the major initiative Support for text complexity •Support for instructional skills to		-		
•Support for implementation of o technical subjects		-	social studies,	science and
Preschool Transition				
This section meets the requirements o 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).	f Sections 1114(b)(1)	(G) and 1115(C)(1)(L	D), P.L. 107-110,	NCLB, codified at
This section meets the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).	f Sections 1114(b)(1)	(G) and 1115(c)(1)([	D), P.L. 107-110,	NCLB, codified at
			nds is used to p	
three year olds at targeted elem <b>Expected Improvements</b> This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). This section meets the requirements of Sec	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),(	H), and (I), and 1115	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10	
Expected Improvements This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),(	H), and (I), and 1115	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10	
Expected Improvements This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). This section meets the requirements of Sec	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen	H), and (I), and 1115 H), and (I), and 1115 ts scoring at or a	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10	07-110, NCLB,
Expected Improvements This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). Area 1: Reading Annual Measurable Objectives	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen	H), and (I), and 1115 H), and (I), and 1115 ts scoring at or a	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10	07-110, NCLB,
Expected Improvements This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). Area 1: Reading Annual Measurable Objectives FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or abo	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen ove Level 4 on FAA	H), and (I), and 1115 H), and (I), and 1115 ts scoring at or a	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 5(c) <b>(1)(A)</b> , P.L. 10	07-110, NCLB, ment Level 3 on
Expected Improvements This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). This section meets the requirements of Sec codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). Area 1: Reading Annual Measurable Objectives FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or abo Group	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen ove Level 4 on FAA 2013 Target %	H), and (I), and 1115 H), and (I), and 1115 Its scoring at or a 2013 Actual %	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 1( 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 1( bove Achieve Target Met?	07-110, NCLB, ment Level 3 on 2014 Target %
Expected Improvements         This section meets the requirements of Secondified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         This section meets the requirements of Secondified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         Area 1: Reading         Annual Measurable Objectives         FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or abord         Group         All Students	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen ove Level 4 on FAA 2013 Target %	H), and (I), and 1115 H), and (I), and 1115 Its scoring at or a 2013 Actual %	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 1( 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 1( bove Achieve Target Met?	07-110, NCLB, ment Level 3 on 2014 Target %
Expected Improvements         This section meets the requirements of Secondified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         This section meets the requirements of Secondified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         Area 1: Reading         Area 1: Reading         Annual Measurable Objectives         FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or abord         Group         All Students         American Indian	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen ove Level 4 on FAA 2013 Target %	H), and (I), and 1115 H), and (I), and 1115 Its scoring at or a 2013 Actual %	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 1( 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 1( bove Achieve Target Met?	07-110, NCLB, ment Level 3 on 2014 Target %
Expected Improvements         This section meets the requirements of Secondified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         This section meets the requirements of Secondified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         Area 1: Reading         Area 1: Reading         Annual Measurable Objectives         FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or abord         Group         All Students         American Indian         Asian	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen ove Level 4 on FAA 2013 Target % 41%	H), and (I), and 1118 H), and (I), and 1118 Its scoring at or a 2013 Actual % 17%	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 150ve Achieve Target Met? No	07-110, NCLB, ment Level 3 on 2014 Target % 47%
Expected Improvements         This section meets the requirements of Secodified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         This section meets the requirements of Secodified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         Area 1: Reading         Area 1: Reading         Annual Measurable Objectives         FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or abord         Group         All Students         American Indian         Asian         Black/African American	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen ove Level 4 on FAA 2013 Target % 41%	H), and (I), and 1118 H), and (I), and 1118 Its scoring at or a 2013 Actual % 17%	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 150ve Achieve Target Met? No	07-110, NCLB, ment Level 3 on 2014 Target % 47%
Expected Improvements         This section meets the requirements of Secondified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         This section meets the requirements of Secondified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         Area 1: Reading         Area 1: Reading         Annual Measurable Objectives         FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or abord         Group         All Students         American Indian         Asian         Black/African American         Hispanic	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen ove Level 4 on FAA 2013 Target % 41%	H), and (I), and 1118 H), and (I), and 1118 Its scoring at or a 2013 Actual % 17%	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 150ve Achieve Target Met? No	07-110, NCLB, ment Level 3 on 2014 Target % 47%
Expected Improvements         This section meets the requirements of Secodified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         This section meets the requirements of Secodified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).         Area 1: Reading         Area 1: Reading         Annual Measurable Objectives         FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or abord         Group         All Students         American Indian         Asian         Black/African American         Hispanic         White	ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( ctions 1114(b)(1)(A),( s (AMOs) - Studen ove Level 4 on FAA 2013 Target % 41%	H), and (I), and 1118 H), and (I), and 1118 Its scoring at or a 2013 Actual % 17%	5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 5(c)(1)(A), P.L. 10 150ve Achieve Target Met? No	07-110, NCLB, ment Level 3 on 2014 Target % 47%

		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
Students scoring at Achievement Leve	el 3	33	12%	47%
Students scoring at or above Achieve	ment Level 4	12	4%	
Florida Alternate Assessment (F	AA)			
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	6	[data excluded fo	or privacy reasons]	
Students scoring at or above Level 7		[data excluded fo	or privacy reasons]	
Learning Gains				
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
Students making learning gains (FCA	,	133	48%	100%
Students in lowest 25% making learni 2.0)	ing gains (FCAT	169	61%	100%
Area 2: Writing				
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test Students scoring at or above 3.5	t 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)	21	31%	
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Stud or above Level 4	lents scoring at	[data excluded for	privacy reasons]	
Area 3: Mathematics				
Elementary and Middle School M	lathematics			
Elementary and Middle School M Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess	es (AMOs) - Stu	-		vement Leve
Annual Measurable Objective	es (AMOs) - Stud ments, or scori	ng at or above L		
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess	es (AMOs) - Stud ments, or scori	ng at or above L	evel 4 on FAA	
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group	es (AMOs) - Stuo ments, or scori 2013 Target %	ng at or above L 6 2013 Actual 9	evel 4 on FAA % Target Met?	2014 Targe
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students	es (AMOs) - Stuo ments, or scori 2013 Target %	ng at or above L 6 2013 Actual 9	evel 4 on FAA % Target Met?	2014 Targe
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students American Indian	es (AMOs) - Stuo ments, or scori 2013 Target %	ng at or above L 6 2013 Actual 9	evel 4 on FAA % Target Met?	2014 Targe
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students American Indian Asian	es (AMOs) - Stue ments, or scori 2013 Target % 30%	ng at or above L 2013 Actual 9 12%	.evel 4 on FAA <u>7 Target Met?</u> No	<b>2014 Targe</b> 37%
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students American Indian Asian Black/African American	es (AMOs) - Stue ments, or scori 2013 Target % 30%	ng at or above L 2013 Actual 9 12%	.evel 4 on FAA <u>7 Target Met?</u> No	<b>2014 Targe</b> 37%
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students American Indian Asian Black/African American Hispanic	es (AMOs) - Stue ments, or scori 2013 Target % 30%	ng at or above L 2013 Actual 9 12%	.evel 4 on FAA <u>7 Target Met?</u> No	<b>2014 Targe</b> 37%
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students American Indian Asian Black/African American Hispanic White	es (AMOs) - Stue ments, or scori 2013 Target % 30%	ng at or above L 2013 Actual 9 12%	.evel 4 on FAA <u>7 Target Met?</u> No	<b>2014 Targe</b> 37%
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students American Indian Asian Black/African American Hispanic White English language learners	es (AMOs) - Stue ments, or scori 2013 Target % 30% 29%	ng at or above L <u>6 2013 Actual 9</u> 12% 8%	evel 4 on FAA <u>7 Target Met?</u> No No	<b>2014 Targe</b> 37% 36%
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students American Indian Asian Black/African American Hispanic White English language learners Students with disabilities	es (AMOs) - Stue ments, or scori 2013 Target % 30% 29% 35% 29%	ng at or above L <u>6 2013 Actual 9</u> 12% 8% 9% 10%	evel 4 on FAA Target Met? No No	2014 Targe 37% 36% 42%
Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess Group All Students American Indian Asian Black/African American Hispanic White English language learners Students with disabilities Economically disadvantaged	es (AMOs) - Stue ments, or scori 2013 Target % 30% 29% 35% 29%	ng at or above L <u>6 2013 Actual 9</u> 12% 8% 9% 10%	evel 4 on FAA <u>7 Target Met?</u> No No No No	2014 Targe 37% 36% 42%

		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Targ
	Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	[data excluded fo	or privacy reasons]	
	Students scoring at or above Level 7	[data excluded fo	or privacy reasons]	
	Learning Gains			
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Targ
	Learning Gains	116	42%	
	Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and EOC)	169	61%	
Area 4	4: Science			
Ele	ementary School Science			
	Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (	FCAT 2.0)		
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Targ
	Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	-	or privacy reasons]	21%
	Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	[data excluded fo	or privacy reasons]	
	Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)			
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Targ
	Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	[data excluded fo	or privacy reasons]	
		[data excluded for privacy reasons]		
	Students scoring at or above Level 7 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math	-		
	-	nematics (STEM	)	
All	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels	ematics (STEM		2014 Tar
<b>All</b> # o	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels	nematics (STEM	)	<b>2014 Ta</b> r 8
<b>All</b> # 0 (e.(	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels	ematics (STEM	)	
All # o (e.( Pa stu	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels of STEM-related experiences provided for students g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) rticipation in STEM-related experiences provided for	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	8
All # c (e.t Pa stu	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels of STEM-related experiences provided for students g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) rticipation in STEM-related experiences provided for idents	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	8
All # o (e.g Pa stu	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels of STEM-related experiences provided for students g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) rticipation in STEM-related experiences provided for idents B: Early Warning Systems	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	8 80%
All # o (e.t Pa stu Area { Ele	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels of STEM-related experiences provided for students g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) rticipation in STEM-related experiences provided for idents B: Early Warning Systems	2013 Actual # 4 200	30%	8 80%
All # o (e.t Pa stu Area { Ele	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels of STEM-related experiences provided for students g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) rticipation in STEM-related experiences provided for idents B: Early Warning Systems ementary School Indicators	2013 Actual # 200 200 2013 Actual #	2013 Actual % 30% 2013 Actual %	8
All # o (e.t Pa stu Area { Ele	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels of STEM-related experiences provided for students g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) rticipation in STEM-related experiences provided for idents B: Early Warning Systems ementary School Indicators udents who miss 10 percent or more of available tructional time udents retained, pursuant to s. 1008.25, F.S. udents who are not proficient in reading by third	2013 Actual # 4 200 2013 Actual # 112	2013 Actual % 30% 2013 Actual % 2013 Actual % 21%	8 80%
All # o (e.t Pa stu Area { Ele Stu ins Stu gra	5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math Levels of STEM-related experiences provided for students g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) rticipation in STEM-related experiences provided for idents B: Early Warning Systems ementary School Indicators udents who miss 10 percent or more of available tructional time udents retained, pursuant to s. 1008.25, F.S. udents who are not proficient in reading by third	2013 Actual # 2013 Actual # 200 2013 Actual # 112 155	2013 Actual % 30% 2013 Actual % 2013 Actual % 21% 28%	8 80%

<b>Describe parental involvement targets for your school</b> We will use the Title 1 Parent Involvement Plan (PIP) for this section.			
Specific Parental Involvement Targets			
Target	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %

#### **Goals Summary**

#### Goal #1:

Effective lesson planning and delivery through purposeful standards based instruction in core will increase student engagement and achievement.

#### Goal #2:

Increase student performance in math from 12% to 37% as measured by FCAT.

# Goal #3:

Increase student performance in reading from 17% to 47% as measured by FCAT.

## Goal #4:

Increase student performance in writing from 31% to 70%

#### Goal #5:

Increase student performance in science from 9% to 27%.

#### Goal #6:

Reduce the number and percent of discipline referrals and out of school suspensions by 50%.

# Goal #7:

Increase performance in reading for our Black/African American students from 14% to 46%.

als Detail	
Goal #1:	Effective lesson planning and delivery through purposeful standards based instruction in core will increase student engagement and achievement.
Targets Supported	-
	Reading - AMO's
	Reading - FCAT2.0
	Reading - FAA
	Reading - Learning Gains
	Writing
	Math
	<ul> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle School</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle AMO's</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0</li> </ul>
	Math - Elementary and Middle FAA
	<ul> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle Learning Gains</li> </ul>
	Social Studies
	Science
	Science - Elementary School
	• EWS
	EWS - Elementary School
Resources Available to Support the Goal	•

<b>Targeted Barriers</b>	• Significant number of teachers who are limited in their knowledge of grade level
to Achieving the	specific content and delivery of curriculum.
Goal	

# Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

#### Action:

Delivery of purposeful standards based instruction and increased engagement and achievement

#### **Person or Persons Responsible:** SBLT

# Target Dates or Schedule: Ongoing

# **Evidence of Completion:**

FP Instructional Support Model form

	Increase student performance in math from 12% to 37% as measured by FCAT.
Targets Supported	<ul> <li>Math</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle School</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle AMO's</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle FAA</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle Learning Gains</li> </ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>DA Summer Academy, Core Connections Training, Summer Math Institutes, CCSSI, Math Reads Curriculum, Math, MTSS Coach, Technology Specialists, Curriculum Resource Teacher, ST MATH, GO Math</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	Shift to CCSS
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal
Action: Positive Data: Contir Problem Solving Pro	nue implementation Questionable: Review additional data Poor: Go through ocess
Person or Persons School Based Leade	Responsible: ership Team Classroom Teacher
Target Dates or Sch Data Review Meeting	
Evidence of Completion: Meeting from Data Review Meetings	
Goal #3:	Increase student performance in reading from 17% to 47% as measured by FCAT.

Targets Supported	<ul> <li>Reading</li> <li>Reading - AMO's</li> <li>Reading - FCAT2.0</li> <li>Reading - FAA</li> <li>Reading - Learning Gains</li> <li>Writing</li> </ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>DA Summer Academy, Core Connections Training, CCSSI Literacy Coach, MTSS Coach, Professional Development during PLCs, Technology Specialists, Curriculum Resource Teacher, Lexia, LLI Teachers</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	Significant number of new teachers
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal
Action: Positive Data: Conti Problem Solving Pro	inue implementation Questionable: Review additional data Poor: Go through ocess
Person or Persons School Based Lead	s Responsible: ershipTeam Classroom Teachers
Target Dates or Sc Data Review Meetir	
Evidence of Comp Data Review Meetin	
Goal #4:	Increase student performance in writing from 31% to 70%
Targets Supported	I • Writing
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>Data Camp, DA Summer Academy, DA Team, District MTSS Staff Developer, MTSS Coach, Literacy Coach, Curriculum Resource Teacher, Technology Specialists, Hourly Teachers</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	Significant number of new teachers
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal
Action: Positive Data: Conti Problem Solving Pro	inue implementation Questionable: Review additional data Poor: Go through ocess
Person or Persons School Based Lead	s Responsible: ership Team, ClassroomTeachers, Coaches
Target Dates or Sc Data Review Meetin	
	lation

# Evidence of Completion:

Meeting Minutes from Data Review Meetings

Goal #5:	Increase student performance in science from 9% to 27%.
	•
Targets Supported	<ul> <li>Science - Elementary School</li> </ul>
_	
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>DA Summer Academy, Leading the Learning Cadre, Mentors, Literacy, Science Coaches, MTSS Coach, Curriculum Resource Teacher</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	Significant number of new teachers
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal
Action: Positive Data: Contir Problem Solving Pro	nue Implementation Questionable: Review additional Data Poor: Go through cess
Person or Persons School Based Leade	Responsible: ership Team Classroom Teachers
Target Dates or Sch Data Review Meeting	
Evidence of Completing Minutes from	etion: n Data Review Meetings
Goal #6:	Reduce the number and percent of discipline referrals and out of school suspensions by 50%.
Targets Supported	Reading
	Reading - AMO's
	Reading - FCAT2.0
	Reading - FAA
	Reading - Learning Gains
	<ul><li>Writing</li><li>Math</li></ul>
	Math - Elementary and Middle School
	<ul> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle AMO's</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0</li> </ul>
	Math - Elementary and Middle FAA
	Math - Elementary and Middle Learning Gains
	Science
	Science - Elementary School
	• EWS
	EWS - Elementary School
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>Behavior Coach, Behavior Specialists, MTSS Team, Consultants: Keith L. Brown, Baruti Kafele and Kim Townsell, District MTSS Staff Developer</li> </ul>

# Targeted Barriers • Significant number of new teachers to Achieving the Goal

# Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

#### Action:

Positive Response: Continue implementation Questionable Response: Review additional data Poor Response: Go through Problem Sovling Process

# Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Behavior Team

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:** August 2013 - 2014

**Evidence of Completion:** 

Scheduled Data Reviews

Goal #7:	Increase performance in reading for our Black/African American students from 14% to 46%.
Targets Supported	<ul> <li>Reading</li> <li>Reading - AMO's</li> <li>Reading - FCAT2.0</li> <li>Reading - FAA</li> <li>Reading - Learning Gains</li> <li>Writing</li> <li>Math</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle AMO's</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle FAA</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle FAA</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle Learning Gains</li> </ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>Consultants: Keith L. Brown, Baruti Kafele, Kim Townsel, Behavior Coach, Behavior Specialists</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	• Limited knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and making meaningful connections with students of poverty

#### Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

#### Action:

Positive Data: Continue implementation Questionable: Review additional data Poor: Go through Problem Solving Process

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team

# Target Dates or Schedule:

Data Review Meetings

#### Evidence of Completion:

Minutes from Data Review Meetings

#### **Action Plan for Improvement**

Goal #1:	Effective lesson planning and delivery through purposeful standards based instruction in core will increase student engagement and achievement.
Barrier #1:	Significant number of teachers who are limited in their knowledge of grade level specific content and delivery of curriculum.
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in grade level specific standards and apply to planning and delivery of instruction.

#### Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1

#### Action:

During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with content coaches to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team and School Based Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Weekly/Bi-weekly

## **Evidence of Completion:**

PLC Feedback Form, Weekly Coaches Log, Lesson Plans

#### Step #2 to Implement Strategy #1

#### Action:

Optional professional development opportunities delievered through district and school level training.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Coaches and District Trainers

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

Ongoing throughout the calendar

# Evidence of Completion:

LMS Transcripts, Coaching Embedded Logs

	Step #3 to Implement Strategy #1
Action:	
Teachers will attend t	raining identified through IPDP.
Person or Persons	•
Teachers and Admini	strators
Target Dates or Sch	
Throughout the caler	
Evidence of Comple	
IPDP, Transcripts, Co	aching Logs
	Step #4 to Implement Strategy #1
Action: Mentors are supporting	ng new teachers
Person or Persons	
New/Developing Tea	
Target Dates or Sch	
Weekly/ongoing	
Evidence of Comple Mentor Contact Logs	tion: Monthly Mentor Meeting Agendas and Minutes
	Step #5 to Implement Strategy #1
Action:	nu of instruction through coophing sucles
••	ry of instruction through coaching cycles.
Person or Persons Coaches and Admini	•
Target Dates or Sch Ongoing throughout s	
Evidence of Comple	
-	thes Meeting Minutes (Debrief with SBLT)
	,
Plan to Monitor Fig	lelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal # <sup>,</sup>
Action:	
Walk through using IS	SM Tool
Person or Persons	Responsible:
Target Dates or Sch	edule:
On-going/3 times a y	ear
Evidence of Comme	tion
Evidence of Comple	

PLC Feedback Form, ISM Walk Throughs, Debrief Minutes with SBLT, attending PLCs

## Action:

Lesson plans, PLC Feedback Form,

# Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team

# Target Dates or Schedule:

Weekly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Lesson Plan Log , SBLT initials on PLC Feedback Forms, PLC Notebook

Goal #2:	Increase student performance in math from 12% to 37% as measured by FCAT.
Barrier #1:	Shift to CCSS
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in mathematics by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

# Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the Math Coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Math Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

August 2013 - June 2014 at weekly PLCs for 50 minutes

#### Evidence of Completion:

PLC Feedback Form Coaching Log Weekly Weekly Coaches Meeting District and State Assessments

#### Facilitator:

Math Coach MTSS Coach Curriculum Resource Teacher/Learning Specialist Technology Specialist

# Participants:

School Based Leadership Team Math Coach

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #2

#### Action:

Attendance and content of PLC meetings Coach's contact hours

# Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:** August 2013 - June 2014

# Evidence of Completion

PLC Feedback Form Coaches Log

#### Action:

FAIR, PCS Common Assessments, FCAT AIMSweb, DIBELs Next

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

State and District Testing Schedule dates Ongoing Progress Monitoring for Tier 2 and Tier 3

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Scheduled Data Review Meetings

Goal #3:	Increase student performance in reading from 17% to 47% as measured by FCAT.
Barrier #1:	Significant number of new teachers
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in literacy by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

# Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the Literacy Coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Literacy Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

August 2013 - June 2014, weekly for 50 minutes

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

PLC Feedback Form Coaching Log Weekly Coaches Meeting District and State Assessments

#### Facilitator:

Literacy Coach MTSS Coach Technology Specialists Curriculum Resource Teacher/Learning Specialist

# Participants:

School Based Leadership Team Literacy Coach

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

#### Action:

Attendance and content of PLC meetings Coach's contact hours

# Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:** August 2013 - June 2014

# Evidence of Completion

PLC Feedback Form Coaches Log

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3 Action: FAIR, PCS Common Assessments, FCAT AIMSweb, DIBELs Next Person or Persons Responsible: School Based Leadership Team **Target Dates or Schedule:** State and District Testing Schedule dates Ongoing progress monitoring for Tier 2 and Tier 3 **Evidence of Completion:** Scheduled Data Review Meetings Goal #4: Increase student performance in writing from 31% to 70% Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers Strategy #1 to Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in writing by unpacking the Overcome the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student Barrier needs as indicated by data.

# Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the literacy coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Literacy Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

August 2013 to June 2014 weekly for 50 minutes

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

PLC Feedback Form Coaches Weekly Log Coaches Meeting District and State Assessment

#### Facilitator:

Literacy Coach MTSS Coach Curriculum Resource Teacher/Learning Specialist

#### **Participants:**

School Based Leadership Team Literacy Coach

#### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #4

#### Action:

Attendance and content of PLC meetings Coaches contact hours

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:** August 2013 - June 2014

#### Evidence of Completion

PLC Feedback Forms Coaches Log

#### Action:

PCS Common Assessments, FCAT

**Person or Persons Responsible:** School Based Leadership Team

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

State and District Schedule Testing Dates

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Scheduled Data Review Meetings

Goal #5:	Increase student performance in science from 9% to 27%.
Barrier #1:	Significant number of new teachers
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in science by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

# Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the science coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Science Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

August 2013 - June 2014 weekly for 50 minutes

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

PLC Feedback Form Weekly Coaches Log Weekly Coaches Meeting District and State Assessments

#### Facilitator:

Science Coach

#### **Participants:**

School Based Leadership Team Science Coach

#### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #5

#### Action:

Attendance and content of PLC meetings Coaches Contact Hours

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:** August 2013 - June 2014

# Evidence of Completion

PLC Feedback Forms Coaches Log

#### Action:

PCS Common Assessments FCAT

**Person or Persons Responsible:** School Based Leadership Team

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

State and District Testing Schedule Dates

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Scheduled Data Review Meetings

Goal #6:	Reduce the number and percent of discipline referrals and out of school suspensions by 50%.
Barrier #1:	Significant number of new teachers
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Build teacher pedagogical knowledge and skills in making meaningful relations and working with the diverse needs of students in poverty.

# Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

## Action:

During weekly PLCs and Professional Development Days, teachers will meet with the Behavior Team/ Diversity Consultant to develop knowledge and skills in making meaningful relations and working with the diverse needs of students in poverty.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Behavior Team Classroom Teachers Consultants

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

August 6, 2013: Keith L. Brown September 13, 2013: Baruti Kafele September 2013 - June 2014: Townsel/Behavior Team, weekly for 50 minutes

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Sign in sheets of training PLC Feedback Form Coaches Log Reduction in referrals and out of school suspensions

#### Facilitator:

Consultants, Behavior Team

#### **Participants:**

School Based Leadership Team Behavior Team Classroom Teachers Consultants

#### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #6

#### Action:

Attendance and content of PLC meetings Behavior Coach Content Hours Number of Discipline Referrals

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Behavior Team

## **Target Dates or Schedule:** August 2013 - June 2014

#### Evidence of Completion

PLC Feedback Forms Coaches Log Referral and Suspension Data

Plan to M Action:	Ionitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #6
Referral Data Out	t of School Suspension Data
	<b>ns Responsible:</b> adership Team, Behavior Specialists
<b>Target Dates or</b> Beginning, Middle <b>Evidence of Con</b> Focus Data	e, End of School Year
Goal #7:	Increase performance in reading for our Black/African American students from 14% to 46%.
Barrier #1:	Limited knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and making meaningful connections with students of poverty
Strategy #1 to Overcome the	Increase staff knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and establishing meaningful relationships with students of

#### Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

**Barrier** 

During Weekly PLCs and Professional Development Days, teachers will meet with the Behavior Team/ Diversity Consultant to develop knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and establishing meaningful relationships with students of poverty.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

poverty

School Based Leadership Team Site Based Academic Coaches, Behavior Coach, Behavior Specialists

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

August 6, 2013: Keith L. Brown September 13, 2013: Baruti Kafele September 2013 - June 2014: Kim Townsel/Behavior Team, weekly for 50 minutes

#### Evidence of Completion:

Sign in sheets of training PLC Feedback Form Coaches Log

#### **Facilitator:**

Behavior Team, Consultants

#### Participants:

School Based Leadership Team Site Based Academic Coaches, Behavior Coach, Behavior Specialists

#### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #7

#### Action:

Attendance and content of PLC meetings Behavior Coach Contact Hours Number of Behavior Calls

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Behavior Coach Behavior Specialists

## Target Dates or Schedule:

August 2013 - 2014

# **Evidence of Completion**

PLC Feedback Forms Coaches Log Behavior Call Log

#### Action:

FAIR, PCS Common Assessments, FCAT Data AIMSweb, DIBELs Next

## Person or Persons Responsible:

School Based Leadership Team Behavior Specialists

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

State and District Testing Schedule Dates

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Scheduled Data Review Meetings

#### **Coordination and Integration**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe how federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include Title I, Part A; Title I, Part C Migrant; Title I, Part D; Title II; Title III; Title VI, Part B; Title X Homeless; Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI); violence prevention programs; nutrition programs; housing programs; Head Start; adult education; CTE; and job training, as applicable to your school

Title 1 Part A funds are utilized to purchase agenda books, compacts, technology, hourly teachers, professional development, and instructional support and materials.

Title I Part C: NA

Title 1 Part D funds are targeted to support continuous educational services in students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology. The Promise Time Program will provide tutoring services for our students attending R'Club.

Title II funds are used to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators and provide literacy, math and science coaches.

Title III funds are used to provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services.

Title X funds are used to provide resources for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Juvenile Welfare Board supports the Pinellas Children's Initiative program in grades Pre-K through 5. Title 1 coordinates with district Food Services to provide Community Eligibility Option (CEO) where all students receive free breakfast and lunch.

Title 1 Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten Transition resources as well as a 3 year old class to support early literacy.

# **Professional Development**

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals:

Goal #2: Increase student performance in math from 12% to 37% as measured by FCAT.

# Barrier #1: Shift to CCSS

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in mathematics by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the Math Coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

#### **Facilitator leader**

Math Coach MTSS Coach Curriculum Resource Teacher/Learning Specialist Technology Specialist

#### Participants

School Based Leadership Team Math Coach

#### Target dates or schedule

August 2013 - June 2014 at weekly PLCs for 50 minutes

# Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

PLC Feedback Form br />Coaching Log Weekly Weekly Coaches Meeting District and State Assessments br /> (Person Responsible: School Based Leadership Team Math Coach)

Goal #3: Increase student performance in reading from 17% to 47% as measured by FCAT.

Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in literacy by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the Literacy Coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

#### **Facilitator leader**

Literacy Coach MTSS Coach Technology Specialists Curriculum Resource Teacher/Learning Specialist

#### Participants School Based Leadership Team Literacy Coach

**Target dates or schedule** August 2013 - June 2014, weekly for 50 minutes

#### Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring PLC Feedback Form

Coaching Log Weekly Coaches Meeting District and State Assessments (Person Responsible: School Based Leadership Team Literacy Coach) Goal #4: Increase student performance in writing from 31% to 70%

Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in writing by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the literacy coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

#### **Facilitator leader**

Literacy Coach MTSS Coach Curriculum Resource Teacher/Learning Specialist

#### Participants

School Based Leadership Team Literacy Coach

#### Target dates or schedule

August 2013 to June 2014 weekly for 50 minutes

## Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

PLC Feedback Form br />Coaches Weekly Log Coaches Meeting District and State Assessment (Person Responsible: School Based Leadership Team Literacy Coach)

Goal #5: Increase student performance in science from 9% to 27%.

Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in science by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the science coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

# Facilitator leader

Science Coach

# Participants

School Based Leadership Team Science Coach

Target dates or schedule August 2013 - June 2014 weekly for 50 minutes

#### Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

PLC Feedback Form Weekly Coaches Log Weekly Coaches Meeting District and State Assessments (Person Responsible: School Based Leadership Team Science Coach) **Goal #6:** Reduce the number and percent of discipline referrals and out of school suspensions by 50%.

Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher pedagogical knowledge and skills in making meaningful relations and working with the diverse needs of students in poverty.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs and Professional Development Days, teachers will meet with the Behavior Team/Diversity Consultant to develop knowledge and skills in making meaningful relations and working with the diverse needs of students in poverty.

#### **Facilitator leader**

Consultants, Behavior Team

#### Participants

School Based Leadership Team Behavior Team Classroom Teachers Consultants

#### Target dates or schedule

August 6, 2013: Keith L. Brown September 13, 2013: Baruti Kafele September 2013 - June 2014: Townsel/Behavior Team, weekly for 50 minutes

# Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Sign in sheets of training PLC Feedback Form Coaches Log Reduction in referrals and out of school suspensions (Person Responsible: School Based Leadership Team Behavior Team Classroom Teachers Consultants)

**Goal #7:** Increase performance in reading for our Black/African American students from 14% to 46%.

**Barrier #1:** Limited knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and making meaningful connections with students of poverty

**Strategy #1:** Increase staff knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and establishing meaningful relationships with students of poverty

Action Step #1: During Weekly PLCs and Professional Development Days, teachers will meet with the Behavior Team/Diversity Consultant to develop knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and establishing meaningful relationships with students of poverty.

#### **Facilitator leader**

Behavior Team, Consultants

#### Participants

School Based Leadership Team Site Based Academic Coaches, Behavior Coach, Behavior Specialists

#### Target dates or schedule

August 6, 2013: Keith L. Brown September 13, 2013: Baruti Kafele September 2013 - June 2014: Kim Townsel/Behavior Team, weekly for 50 minutes

#### Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Sign in sheets of training PLC Feedback Form Coaches Log (Person Responsible: School Based Leadership Team Site Based Academic Coaches, Behavior Coach, Behavior Specialists)

#### Budget

#### **Budget Summary by Goal**

Goal Description

Goal #2	Increase student performance in math from 12% to 37% as measured by FCAT.	\$61,472
Goal #3	Increase student performance in reading from 17% to 47% as measured by FCAT.	\$175,630
Goal #4	Increase student performance in writing from 31% to 70%	\$101,617
Goal #5	Increase student performance in science from 9% to 27%.	\$55,958
Goal #6	Reduce the number and percent of discipline referrals and out of school suspensions by 50%.	\$64,940
Goal #7	Increase performance in reading for our Black/African American students from 14% to 46%.	\$133,386
	Total	\$593,003

# Budget Summary by Resource Type and Funding Source

Resource Type	Personnel	Total
Title 1/SIG	\$593,003	\$593,003
Total	\$593,003	\$593,003

# Budget Detail

Goal #2: Increase student performance in math from 12% to 37% as measured by FCAT.

Barrier #1: Shift to CCSS

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in mathematics by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the Math Coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

<b>Resource Type</b>	Personnel
Resource	MTSS Coach, Technology Specialists, Curriculum Resource Teacher, Math Reads Literature
Funding Source	Title 1/SIG
Amount Needed	\$61,472

Goal #3: Increase student performance in reading from 17% to 47% as measured by FCAT.

Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in literacy by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the Literacy Coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

<b>Resource Type</b>	Personnel
Resource	Intermediate Literacy Coach, MTSS Coach, Technology Specialists, Curriculum Resource Teacher, LLI Teachers
Funding Source	Title 1/SIG
Amount Needed	\$175,630

Goal #4: Increase student performance in writing from 31% to 70%

Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in writing by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the literacy coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

<b>Resource Type</b>	Personnel
Resource	Intermediate Literacy Resource Teacher, MTSS Coach, Curriculum Resource Teacher, Technology Specialists, Hourly Teachers
Funding Source	Title 1/SIG
Amount Needed	\$101,617

**Goal #5:** Increase student performance in science from 9% to 27%.

Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher content and pedagogical knowledge in science by unpacking the standards and developing lesson plans aligned with CCSS based on student needs as indicated by data.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs teachers will meet with the science coach to develop lesson plans aligned with standards, analyze data and modify instruction to meet identified students' needs.

<b>Resource Type</b>	Personnel
Resource	MTSS Coach, Curriculum Resource Teacher, Technology Specialists
Funding Source	Title 1/SIG
Amount Needed	\$55,958

Goal #6: Reduce the number and percent of discipline referrals and out of school suspensions by 50%.

Barrier #1: Significant number of new teachers

**Strategy #1:** Build teacher pedagogical knowledge and skills in making meaningful relations and working with the diverse needs of students in poverty.

Action Step #1: During weekly PLCs and Professional Development Days, teachers will meet with the Behavior Team/Diversity Consultant to develop knowledge and skills in making meaningful relations and working with the diverse needs of students in poverty.

rsonnel
havior Specialists, Consultants
e 1/SIG
4,940

**Goal #7:** Increase performance in reading for our Black/African American students from 14% to 46%. **Barrier #1:** Limited knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and making meaningful connections with students of poverty

**Strategy #1:** Increase staff knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and establishing meaningful relationships with students of poverty

Action Step #1: During Weekly PLCs and Professional Development Days, teachers will meet with the Behavior Team/Diversity Consultant to develop knowledge and skills in motivating African American students to achieve in school and establishing meaningful relationships with students of poverty.

<b>Resource Type</b>	Personnel
Resource	Behavior Specialists, MTSS Coach, Technology Specialists, Intermediate Literacy Resource Teacher, Curriculum Resource Teacher, consultants
Funding Source	Title 1/SIG
Amount Needed	\$133,386