	District VMV Goals		
Vision	Vision- 100% Student Success	Narrative	Standard 1-1.1, 1.2: Purpose
Mission	Mission- Forest Lakes Elementary- A Community in Pursuit of Highest Student Achievement.	Narrative	Standard 1-1.2: Purpose
Values	Values- Excellence, Respect, Responsibility, Safety	Narrative	Standard 1-1.3: Purpose
	Part I: Current School Status		
	A. School Information		
	1. School-Level Information		
	School Forest Lakes Elementary	Narrative	
	Principal's name Karen Aspen	Narrative	
	School Advisory Council chair's name Andrea Zeitlin	Narrative	
	2. District-Level Information		
	□ District	✓	
	Pinellas County		
	☐ Superintendent's name	✓	
	Michael A. Grego Ed.D.		
	☐ Date of school board approval of SIP	√	
	September 24, 2013		
	B. School Advisory Council (SAC)		
3	1. Describe the involvement of the SAC in the development of this school improvement plan. Input from SAC is taken and once the SIP is completed, SAC approves the final draft.	Narrative	Standard 2-2.4, 2.5: Governance and Leadership

	2. Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year. SAC meets and discusses school based topics and needs. SAC is brought up to date on all new activities	Narrative	
3	that happen at the school.		
	3. Describe the projected use of school improvement funds and include the amount	Narrative	
4	allocated to each project Staff Professional Development- \$2500.00		
	4. Verify that your school is in compliance with Section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the	Narrative	
	establishment duties of the School Advisory Council by selecting one of the boxes below:		
	X Yes, we are in compliance.□ No, we are not in compliance.		
3	5. If no, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	Narrative	
	3. If no, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	rvariative	
	C. Highly Qualified Staff		
	1. Administrators		
	For each of your school's administrators (principal and all assistant principals), complete		Executive Summary: Section 1
	the following fields:		
	a) Name- Karen Aspen- Principal	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	Richard Knight- Assistant Principal		Section 1
	b) Credentials (degrees and certifications)	Narrative	Executive Summary:
	Karen Aspen- AA; BME- Music Education; MS- Educational Leadership;		Section 1
	Certifications: Music (Grades K-12), School Principal, ESOL endorsement.		
	Certifications. Wiusic (Grades K-12), School Frincipal, ESOL endorsement.		
	Richard Knight- BA- Elementary Education, M. ed- Educational Leadership;		
	Contifications, Elementers Education (1.6), ESOI and assessment, Educational Loadoushin.		
3	Certifications: Elementary Education (1-6); ESOL endorsement; Educational Leadership;		
-	c) Number of years as an administrator	Narrative	Executive Summary:
	Karen Aspen-13		Section 1
3	Richard Knight- 2		
	d) Number of years at the current school;	Narrative	Executive Summary:
	Karen Aspen- 19		Section 1
3	Richard Knight- 2		

	e) Performance record of increasing student achievement throughout their career, which should include their history of school grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (i.e. percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, improvement of lowest 25th percentile in reading and mathematics, pursuant to Section 1008.34(3)(b), F.S.), and progress toward Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)- Forest Lakes has been an "A" school until the 2012-2013 school year when we received a "B". Forest Lakes continues to show growth in the areas of learning gains and improvements of the lowest 25th percentile in reading and mathematics. Forest Lakes continues to make progress in meeting our AMOs.	DecisonEd/DW	
1,2,3			
	2. Instructional Coaches		Executive Summary: Section 1
	For each of your school's instructional coaches, complete the following fields		Executive Summary: Section 1
	a) Name	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	b) Subject area	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	c) Credentials (degrees and certifications)	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	d) Number of years as an instructional coach	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	e) Number of years at the current school	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
1,2	f) Performance record of increasing student achievement throughout their career, which should include their history of school grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (i.e. percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, improvement of lowest 25th percentile in reading and mathematics, pursuant to Section 1008.34(3)(b), F.S.), and progress toward Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)	DecisionEd/DW	
	g) Full-time or Part-time	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	h) School-based or District-based	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	3. Instructional Staff		Executive Summary:

			Section 1
	a) # of instructional employees- 56	DecisionEd/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
3	b) % receiving effective rating or higher- 100%	Narrative	
3	c) % Highly Qualified Teacher (HQT), as defined in NCLB through a High, Objective, Uniform State Standard of Evaluation (HOUSSE)-	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	d) % certified in-field, pursuant to Section 1012.2315(2), F.S.	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
2	e) % ESOL endorsed- 33.9%	DecisonED/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
2	f) % reading endorsed- 7.1%	DecisionED/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
3	g) % with advanced degrees- 35.7%	DecisionED/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
3	h) % National Board Certified- 25%	DecisionED/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
	i) % first-year teachers- 0%	DecisionED/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
	j) % with 1-5 years of experience- 12.5%	DecisionED/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
	k) % with 6-14 years of experience- 33.9%	DecisionED/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
	1) % with 15 or more years of experience- 48.2%	DecisionED/DW	Executive Summary: Section 1
	4. Paraprofessionals		Executive Summary: Section 1
	a) # of paraprofessionals- 0	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	b) % Highly Qualified Teacher, as defined in NCLB through a High, Objective, Uniform State Standard of Evaluation (HOUSSE)	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	5. Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies		
	a) Describe your school's strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible. We will continue to	Narrative	Standard 2: Governance and Leadership

	provide professional development which will keep our school high performing. This professional development provides opportunities for growth and challenge for all staff which will help retain highly qualified teachers. Karen Aspen, Richard Knight 6. Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan		
	a) Describe your school's teacher mentoring program/plan including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities. Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or coteaching lessons	Narrative	Standard 3-3.3, 3.7,3.12: Teaching and Assessing for Learning Standard 5-5.2,5.5Using Results for Continuous Improvement
	D. Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)		
4	1. Describe your school's data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of your SIP and MTSS structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs. The school based leadership team will be responsible for managing and coordinating these efforts between all school teams as well as reviewing and revising the School Improvement Plan.	Narrative	Standard 3-3.7: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
4	2. Identify the names and position titles of the members of your school-based leadership team. What is the function and responsibility of each team member as it relates to MTSS and the SIP? Janelle Willett, Therese Christopher, Stacey Rutledge, Suzanne Bradford, Karen Aspen, Rich Knight, Ellen Lattanzio, Donna Kabot, Rachel Pages, Colette Alex, Barbara Miller, Karyn Smith, Karen Gums, Joann Ferra, Michelle Scalise, Leslie Rogers At the beginning of each meeting, roles will be established.	Narrative	Standard 2-2.4: Governance and Leadership
4	3. Describe the systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the school's MTSS and SIP. Leadership Meetings, PLCs, Data Meetings	Narrative	Standard 2-2.3,2.4: Governance and Leadership

5	 4. Describe the data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance). FOCUS, EDS, RTIb database, FLDOE Website 5. Describe the plan to support staff's understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data- 	Narrative Narrative	Standard 5-5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4: Using Results for Continuous Improvement Standard 3-3.11, 3.12:
	based problem solving. We will focus our professional development and capacity building for math problem solving and systems of support. Grade level PLCs are fully responsible for these processes for reading and we will aim to have them fully responsible for math processes by the end of the year. Professional development will be presented by administration and district personnel.		Teaching and Assessing for Learning Standard 5-5.3:Using Results for Continuous Improvement
4,5	E. Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities		
	0 11		
2	Describe research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum (e.g., lengthening the school day, week, or year; providing before, after, Saturday, or summer school programs; implementing enrichment programs and activities; allowing teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development). Allow teachers to collaborate during weekly PLCs and monthly Staff Meetings and Staff Trainings.	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1, 3.12: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
	F. Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)		
2	1. Identify the names and positions titles of the members of your school-based LLT. Janelle Willett, Therese Christopher, Stacey Rutledge, Suzanne Bradford, Karen Aspen, Rich Knight, Ellen Lattanzio, Donna Kabot, Rachel Pages, Colette Alex, Barbara Miller, Karyn Smith, Karen Gums, Joann Ferra	Narrative	Executive Summary: Section 1
	2. Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes, roles,	Narrative	Executive Summary:
	functions). Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:		Section 1
2	Support for text complexity		

	Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension		
	 Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence) Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction). 		
	The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.		
2	 3. What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects 	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1 thru 3.7: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
2	G. Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Improvement		
	This section is required for schools with grades 6-12, per Section 1003.413(2)(b), F.S.		
2	1. Describe how the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student. All teachers are provided ongoing trainings throughout the year in the area of Reading. Common Assessment and FAIR Data is analyzed, discussed and used to make instructional decisions. All teachers will be implementing Common Core this year.	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1 thru 3.7: Teaching and Assessing for Learning

	H. Preschool Transition		
	This section is required for schools with grades K-2.		
	1. Describe strategies for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs, as applicable. Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1 thru 3.7: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
1,2			
	I. College and Career Readiness		
	This section is required for secondary schools, per Sections 1003.413(2)(g)-(j) and 1008.37(4), F.S.		
1	1. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?	Narrative	Standard 3-3.5: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
1	2. How does the school promote academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful?	Narrative	Standard 3-3.8, 3.9, 3.12: Teaching and Assessing for Learning. Standard 4-4.6,4.8: Resources and Support Systems
1	3. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u> , which is maintained by the Department of Education, pursuant to Rule 6A-10.038, F.A.C.	Narrative	Standard 5-5.4: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1	Part II: Expected Improvements		r
	For each data point below, unless otherwise directed list the current year status (number and percentage) and the target (percentage) for next year. These are schoolwide data, not disaggregated by grade level. FAA and CELLA data shall be considered by schools with 10 or more students taking the assessment. A. Area 1: Reading		

	a) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)		
1	☐ Students scoring at Achievement Level 3-27.5%	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students scoring at or above AchievementLevel 4-39%	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	b) Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)		Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 0	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students scoring at or above Level 7-0	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	c) Learning Gains		
1	☐ Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA) 72%	DecisionED/DW FCAT 2.0 only	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0) 70%	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	d) Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)		
1	☐ Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)- 63%	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students)- 32%	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in Englishat grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)- 26%	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	e) Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)		
1	☐ Student subgroups (i.e., American Indian, Asian, black, Hispanic, white, English language learners, students with disabilities, and economically disadvantaged) scoring at level 3 or higher on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at level 4 or higher on the FAA- Asian- 93%, Black- 67%, Hispanic- 54%, White- 73%, ELL- 42%, SWD- 52%, ED- 57%	DecisionED/DW FCAT 2.0 only	Assessment Matrix
	f) Postsecondary readiness		
	The following data shall be considered by high schools.		
	☐ 4-year graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix

Goal 1 to support target(s): To increase reading FCAT scores by 10%	Narrative			
Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 1: 2013-2014 FCAT Scores, common assessments,	Narrative Decisionl			
formative assessments, progress monitoring data				
Data Indicator(s) -corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		2-13	2013-14	l Targets
1.	#	66.6%	#	76.6%
2.	#	%	#	%
3.	#	%	#	%
Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 1 (reduce or eliminate barriers)				
Action 1- Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	Narrative			
Action 2- Differentiate Instruction	Narrative	:		
Action 3 Identify struggling ESOL and other ELL students.	Narrative	;		
Action 4-	Narrative	:		
Plan to Implement Action 1: Teachers regularly assess students to determine areas of differentiation.	Narrative			
Plan to Implement Action 2: Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.	Narrative			
Plan to Implement Action 3: Investigate and discuss research based strategies that are used in	n Narrative	:		

	classrooms that are successful in meeting the needs of the ESOL/ELL population in our school. Implement research based strategies for ESOL/ELL students. PLCs monitor trends for this subgroup and engage in problem-solving where data indicates the necessity. Share strategies and data and celebrate teacher and student success.				
	Goal 2 to support target(s): N/A-To increase African American students' reading FCAT scores by 10%	Narrative			
	Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 2 : 2013-2014 Reading FCAT, common assessments, formative assessments, progress monitoring data				
	Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)	DecisionEI	D/DW	Assessment Ma	trix
	1.				
1		DecisionEI	D/DW	Assessment Ma	trix
	2.				
1	3.	DecisionEI	D/DW	Assessment Ma	trix
	Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 2 (reduce or eliminate barriers)- Differentiate instruction based on the needs of our African American students.	Narrative			
	Action 1- Look at students previous assessment scores to determine needs.	Narrative DecisionEI	D/DW		
	Action 2- Address the needs of our African American students during reading instruction and intervention time.	2012 Actu		2013-14	Targets
	and intervention time.	#	67%	#	77%
	Action 4-	#	%	#	%

	Plan to Implement Action 1:	#	%	#	%	i
	Plan to Implement Action 2:					ı
	Plan to Implement Action 3:	Narrative				i
	Plan to Implement Action 4:	Narrative				I
	Action 3-	Narrative				ı
	Action 4-	Narrative				i
	Plan to Implement Action 1:	Narrative				I
	Plan to Implement Action 2:	Narrative				ı
	Plan to Implement Action 3:	Narrative				I
	Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Writing-	a)		DecisionED/DW		Asses Matri
	Students scoring at or above 3.5 - 59			DecisionED/DW	1	Asses Matri
	b) Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)					1,2
	☐ Students scoring at or above Level 4 35			DecisionED/DW		Asses Matri
	Goal 1 to support target(s): To increase writing FCAT scores by 10%			Narrative		<u> </u>
1						ı <u> </u>
1	Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 2: 2013-2014 FCAT Writing scores, formative assessments, data collection			Narrative DecisionED/DW		ı
		Data Indio				20
		- correspo	_	Actual	As	Та
		to SIP Par (SIP Targe	•			ı

		•		
		1.		
1			#	%
1		2.	#	%
1		3.	#	%
		3.	π	/0
1	Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 1 (reduce or eliminate barriers) Differentiate instruction based on the needs of the students.			
1	Action 1- Look at students previous assessment scores to determine needs.	Action 1-	Narrative	
1	Action 2- Address the needs of the students during ELA instruction and intervention time.	Action 2-	Narrative	
		Action 3-	Narrative	
1				
	Goal 1 to support target(s): To increase African American students' writing FCAT scores by 10%	Action 4-	Narrative	
	Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 2 (reduce or eliminate barriers)- Differentiate	Plan to	Narrative	
	instruction based on the needs of our African American students.	Implement Action 1:		
	Action 1- Look at students previous assessment scores to determine needs.	Plan to	Narrative	
		Implement Action 2:		
	Action 2- Address the needs of our African American students during ELA instruction and	Plan to	Narrative	
	intervention time.	Implement		
1		Action 3:		
		Plan to	Narrative	
		Implement Action 4:		
1		Action 4.		

	b) Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)		
1	☐ Student subgroups (i.e., American Indian, Asian, black, Hispanic, white, English language learners, students with disabilities, and economically disadvantaged) scoring at level 3 or higher on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at level 4 or higher on the FAA- Hispanic- 60%, White- 49%, SWD- 37%, ED- 41%	DecisionED/DW FCAT 2.0 only	Assessment Matrix
	c) Learning Gains		
1	☐ Students making learning gains (EOC and FAA)	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	d) Postsecondary readiness		
	☐ 4-year graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A010.0315, F.A.C.	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1			
	3. Middle School Acceleration		
	The following data shall be considered by middle schools.		
1	☐ Middle school participation in high school EOC	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Middle school performance on high school EOC	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	4. Algebra 1 End-of-Course Assessment (EOC)		
	The following data shall be considered for schools with students taking the Algebra I EOC.		
1	☐ Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	5. Geometry End-of-Course Assessment (EOC)		
	The following data shall be considered for schools with students taking the Geometry EOC.		
1	☐ Students scoring at Achievement Level 3- 27.6%	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4- 30.6%	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix

Goal 3 to support target(s): To increase math FCAT scores by 10%	Narrative			
Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 3: 2013-2014 Math FCAT, common assessments, formative assessments, progress monitoring data	DecisionI	ED/DW		
Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		2-13 cuals	2013-14	4 Targets
ig 1.	#	58.2%	#	68.2%
2.	#	%	#	%
3.	#	%	#	%
Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 3 (reduce or eliminate barriers)				
Action 1 Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction.	Narrative	:		
Action 2- Differentiate Instruction	Narrative	:		
Action 3- School wide focus on Math Facts	Narrative	:		
Action 4- Develop processes for math intervention	Narrative	:		
Plan to Implement Action 1: Share current formative assessments and develop and continue to share new formative assessments.	Narrative	:		
Plan to Implement Action 2: Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	Narrative			
Plan to Implement Action 3: Discuss and develop implementation plan with staff.	Narrative	:		
Plan to Implement Action 4: Provide staff development on AIMSWEB and facilitate				

	development of math intervention processes with PLCs.		
	development of main intervention processes with I Les.		
	Goal 2 to support target(s): N/A- To increase African American students' math FCAT scores	Narrative	
	by 10%		
	Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 2: 2013-2014 Math FCAT, common assessments,		
	formative assessments, progress monitoring data		
	Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		
	1.		
	1.		
	2.		Assessment Matrix
			Tissessment matrix
1	3.	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 2 (reduce or eliminate barriers) - Differentiate	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	instruction based on the needs of our African American students.		
1			
	Action 1- Look at students previous assessment scores to determine needs.		
		DecisionED/DW	A
	Action 2- Address the needs of our African American students during math instruction and	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	intervention time.		
1	Action 3-		
1		DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1			
	Action 4-		
	Plan to Implement Action 1:		
	Plan to Implement Action 2:		

	Plan to Implement Action 3:	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	Plan to Implement Action 4:	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	rian to implement Action 4.	DecisionED/D W	Assessment Matrix
	Action 3-		
	Action 4-		
1	Plan to Implement Action 1:	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	Plan to Implement Action 2:	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	Plan to Implement Action 3:		
1	Plan to Implement Action 4:	Narrative	Standard 3-3.12: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
1	☐ Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students N/A	Narrative	Standard 3-3.1: Teaching and Assessing for Learning
	The following data shall be considered by high schools.		
1	☐ Students enrolling in one or more accelerated STEM-related courses N/A	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Completion rate (%) for students enrolled in accelerated STEM-related courses N/A	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students taking one or more advanced placement exams for STEM-related courses N/A	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Passing rate (%) for students who take advanced placement exams for STEM-related courses N/A	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ CTESTEM program concentrators N/A	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Students taking CTESTEM industry certification exams N/A	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE-STEM industry certification exams N/A	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	Science- To increase science FCAT scores by 10%		

	Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 1: 2013-2014 FCAT, common assessments, formative	Decisionl	ED/DW			
	assessments, progress monitoring data					
	Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)	201	2012-13 Actuals	2013-14	2013-14 Targets	
		Act				
	1.	#	53.8%	#	63.8%	
	2.	#	%	#	%	
	3.	#	%	#	%	
	Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 1 (reduce or eliminate barriers)					
	Action 1- Diligence in following CCSS and NGSSS in science for all grade levels.					
	Action 2- Integrate science into Literacy block to provide more time for science instruction.					
	Action 3-					
	Action 4-					
	Plan to Implement Action 1: Diligence in following Science Workshops.					
	Plan to Implement Action 2: Discuss and develop implementation plan with staff.					
	Plan to Implement Action 3:					
	Plan to Implement Action 4:					
	The following data shall be considered by middle and high schools.					
1	☐ Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses	Decisionl	ED/DW			
1	☐ Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more accelerated courses	Decisionl	ED/DW			
<u>-</u> 1	Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in accelerated courses	Decisionl	ED/DW	Assessment M	atrix	

1	☐ Students taking CTE industry certification exams	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	☐ CTE program concentrators	DecisionED/DW	
3	Goal 2 to support target(s): N/A- To increase African American students' science FCAT scores by 10%	Narrative	Standard 3-3.11: Teaching and Assessing for Learning; Standard 4-1: Resources and Support Systems
	Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 2 : 2013-2014 Science FCAT, common assessments, formative assessments, progress monitoring data.		
	Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets)		
	1.		
1	2.	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1	3.	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 2 (reduce or eliminate barriers) – Differentiate instruction based on the needs of our African American students.		
	Action 1- Look at students previous assessment scores to determine needs.		
	Action 2-	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
1		D :: ED/DW	
1	Action 3-	DecisionED/DW	Assessment Matrix
	Action 4-		

	Plan to Implement Action 1: Discuss and develop implementation plan with staff.	DecisionE	ED/DW		
	Plan to Implement Action 2:	2012-13 Actuals		2013-14	Targets
	Plan to Implement Action 3:	#	%	#	%
	Plan to Implement Action 4:	#	%	#	%
	Action 3-	#	%	#	%
	Action 4-				
	Plan to Implement Action 1:				
	Plan to Implement Action 2:				
	Plan to Implement Action 3:				
	Plan to Implement Action 4:				
	Plan to Implement Action 1:				
	Plan to Implement Action 2:				
	Plan to Implement Action 3:				
	Plan to Implement Action 4:				
	H. Area 8: Early Warning Systems				
	1. Attendance				
3	Students tardy 10 percent or more, as defined by district attendance policy 6%	DecisionE	ED/DW	Standard 5-5.2 Results for Cor Improvement	
3	Studentsabsent 10 percent or more, as defined by district attendance policy-18.7%	DecisionE	ED/DW	Standard 5-5.2 Results for Cor	

	2. Suspension		
3	☐ Students with one or more referrals 15	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
3	☐ Students with five or more referrals 1	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
3	☐ Students with one or more in school suspension days, as defined in s.1003.01(5)(b), F.S3	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
3	☐ Students with five or more in school suspension days, as defined in s.1003.01(5)(b), F.S0	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
3	☐ Students with one or more out-of-school suspension days, as defined in s.1003.01(5)(a), F.S3	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
3	☐ Students with five or more out-of-school suspension days, as defined in s.1003.01(5)(a), F.S0	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
3	☐ Students with ten or more in-school or out-of-school suspension days-0	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
3	☐ Students referred for alternative school placement 0	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
3	☐ Students expelled 0	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
	3. Retention		
1	☐ Students retained 8	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1	☐ Students with one or more course failures on first attempt in core curricula courses, as defined in s. 1003.01(14), F.S.	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1	o Students in 3rd grade with one or more course failures on first attempt in core-curricula courses o Students in 6th grade with one or more course failures on first attempt in core-curricula courses o Students in 9th grade with one or more course failures on first attempt in core-curricula courses	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1	☐ Students off track for graduation based on credits required to date for their cohort	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
	4. Dropout Prevention		

	J. Area 10: Additional Targets Goal 5 (add other goals as needed) to support target(s): -To Increase the use of current technology in the classroom		
	J. Area 10: Additional largets		
	T A 10. A 11'4' 17D 4		
3,4	meetings. We will hold several after school activities including Fall Festival and Family Fitness Nights.		
	parent engagement opportunities). We will continue to promote parental involvement at our school through our Family and Community Liaison and through our PTA and SAC		
	quartile or subgroups not meeting AMOs whose parent(s) participated in one or more		
	number of parents in attendance at parent engagement opportunities; percent of parents who participated in parent engagement opportunities; percent of students in lowest performing		
	Consider the level of parental involvement at your school (this may include, but is not limited to, number of parent engagement opportunities offered in the school year; average		
	Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, Codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).	Narrative	
	I. Area 9: Parent Involvement		
1	☐ Students graduating in 5 years, using criteria defined at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)N/A	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1	☐ Academically atrisk students graduating in 4 years, as defined in Rule 6A-1.09981, F.A.C. N/A	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1	☐ Students graduating in 4 years, using criteria for the federal uniform graduation rate defined in the Code of Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b) N/A	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1	☐ Students dropping out of school, as defined in s.1003.01(9), F.S.N/A	DecisionED/DW	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
	The following data shall be considered by high schools, per Section 1003.53, F.S. If a school has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate, that school's improvement plan is required to include strategies for improving these results, pursuant to Section 1001.42(18), F.S. Graduation rates for the state, district, and school by subgroup are available in the AMO Outcomes Report at http://schoolgrades.fldoe.org/ .	DecisionED/DW assuming drop out codes are W22 and w15	

	Possible Data Sources to Measure Goal 5: Walkthroughs, Teacher appraisals	DecisionED/DW		
	Data Indicator(s) - corresponding to SIP Part II A-J (SIP Targets) 1.	2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Targets	
1-5		#	%	_
1-5				
1-5	2.	#	%	
	3.	#	%	
1-5				
	Action Plans (strategies) to Accomplish Goal 5 (reduce or eliminate barriers) - Provide iPads			
1.7	for each classroom to use.			
1-5	Action 1- Provide technology training for all staff members on the use of iPads in the			-
	classroom.			
1-5				
1-5	Action 2-			
1-3	Action 3-			
1-5				
	Action 4-			
1-5				
	Plan to Implement Action 1: Set up training for use of iPads in the classroom.			
	Plan to Implement Action 2:			
1-5	Plan to Implement Action 3:			
1-3	Plan to Implement Action 4:			
	☐ Facilitator or leader	Narrative		

	Participants (e.g., Professional Learning Community, grade level, schoolwide)	Narrative
		Manual .
	☐ Target datesor schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)	Narrative
	☐Strategies for follow-up and monitoring	Narrative
	K. Problem-Solving	Narrative
	Based on the targets set for your school in each required Area, engage in a problem-solving process using the following questions. Goals shall specifically address any subgroup not meeting its AMO targets for 2012-2013. The special needs of subgroups not addressed in the AMO report (e.g., migrant, homeless, neglected and delinquent) shall also be considered during this process. Operational data such as climate surveys and classroom walkthroughs may also be used as available and relevant.	
4	☐ Step 1: Identify goal(s) to help you achieve your targets. Select one or more Areas each goal addresses. Increase student FCAT scores in the areas of Reading, Writing, Math and Science by 10%	Narrative
	☐ Step 2: Brainstorm barriers that could prevent the school from achieving each goal.Lack of understanding of CCSS, shift in school demographics, lack of parental involvement, lack of implementation of core curriculum with fidelity	
	Step 3: Prioritize targeted barriers based on alterable elements of curriculum, instruction, environment, and organizational systems (e.g., those which have the most impact on the goal if removed or are immediately actionable). We will target implementation of core curriculum with fidelity as well as building an understanding of CCSS.	Narrative
4	☐ Step 4: Brainstorm which resources are available that could be used to address each targeted barrier. Teachers that have been to CCSS trainings over the summer. District personnel can be used to train staff. Researched Based Literature will be used such as "Lesson Study" by Catherine Lewis and "The Continuum of Literacy Learning" by Fountas and Pinnell	Narrative
4	Step 5: Brainstorm and prioritize strategies that could be used to eliminate or reduce each targeted barrier. Professional Development, discussion during weekly PLC's, Book Studies	Narrative
4	Step6: Identify action steps (including who, what, where, when) that will need to be taken to implement the identified strategies. Determine the needs of the staff regarding	Narrative

		1	
	CCSS by way of survey. Administration will then contact district personnel to set up		
	trainings at school during training days.	NY	
	☐ Step 7: Determine how strategies will be monitored for effectiveness and fidelity of	Narrative	
	implementation (including who, what, where, when). Administration will conduct		
4	walkthroughs. Data from formative assessments will be monitored.		
	☐ Step 8: Determine how progress towards each goal will be monitored (including who,	Narrative	
	what, where, when). Administration will conduct walkthroughs. Data from formative		
4	assessments will be monitored.		
4	Part III: Professional Development	Narrative	
	For all professional development identified in Part II as a strategy to eliminate or reduce a		
	barrier to a goal, provide the following information for each activity.		
	☐ Related goal—Implementation of CCSS with fidelity		
	☐ Topic, focus, and content CCSS	Narrative	Standard 5: Using Results for
1-5			Continuous Improvement
1.5	☐ Facilitator or leader Administration, District Personnel, Staff	Narrative DecisionED	Standard 5: Using Results for
1-5	Doutisinants (s. a. Duefessional Learning Community, and delevel, schoolsvide) All	Narrative	Continuous Improvement Standard 5: Using Results for
1.5	☐ Participants (e.g., Professional Learning Community, grade level, schoolwide) All instructional staff	DecisionED	Continuous Improvement
1-5		Narrative	•
1.7	Target datesor schedule (e.g., professional development day, once a month)- Ongoing	DecisionED	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1-5	throughout the year		•
	☐ Strategies for follow-up and monitoring- Administration will conduct walkthroughs.	Narrative	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1-5	Data from formative assessments will be monitored.	NI	•
1-5	☐ Person responsible for monitoring Administration	Narrative DecisionED	Standard 5: Using Results for Continuous Improvement
1-3	☐ If no, are the original strategies being implemented with fidelity as designed?	Narrative	Standard 5: Using Results for
1-5	☐ If no, are the original strategies being implemented with fidenty as designed?	1 tarrative	Continuous Improvement
	o If yes, re-engage the problem solving process at Step 5, making edits as needed to Part II	Narrative	Standard 5: Using Results for
1-5	of the SIP.		Continuous Improvement
_	o If no, engage in a problem solving process around implementation fidelity of the original		Standard 5: Using Results for
1-5	plan, and make edits as desired to Part II of the SIP.		Continuous Improvement
	Part IV: Coordination and Integration		'
	1 art 1 v. Coor amanon and integration		

	Describe how federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include Title I, Part A; Title I, Part C- Migrant; Title I, Part D; Title II; Title III; Title VI, Part B; Title X- Homeless; Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI); violence prevention programs; nutrition programs; housing programs; Head Start; adult education; CTE; and job training, as applicable to your school.	Narrative	
4			
	Part V: Budget		
	Based on the strategies identified during the problem-solving process, create a budget for each school-funded activity including: S.I.P. funds will be allocated to increase student achievement through professional	Narrative	
	development for teachers and administrators. ☐ Related goal CCSS/Math	Narrative	
4			
4	Strategy Provide professional development	Narrative	
4	☐ Type of resource (i.e., evidence-based programs or materials, professional development, technology, or other)—Trainings, TDE's, Book Studies	Narrative	
4	☐ Description of resources Trainings based on teacher needs	Narrative	
4	☐ Funding source SIP fund	Narrative	
4	☐ Amount needed 2500.00	Narrative	
	Part VI: Mid-Year Reflection		
	This section is to be completed after mid-year assessment data is available. Reflect on the plan created through the problem-solving process at the beginning of the year and answer the following questions for each created in Part IIK.		
1-5	☐ Has the goal been achieved?	Narrative	Standa Contin
1-5	o If yes, what evidence do you see to indicate you have achieved the goal?	Narrative DecisionED	Standa Contin
1-5	o If no, is desired progress being made to accomplish the goal?	Narrative DecisionED	Standa Contin
1-5	☐ If yes, what evidence do you see to indicate desired progress has been made to accomplish the goal?	Narrative DecisionED	Standa Contin
1-5	☐ If no, have the originally targeted barriers been eliminated or reduced?	Narrative	Standa Contin

1-5	☐ If yes, what evidence do you see to indicate barriers have been eliminated or reduced?	Narrative DecisionED	Stand Contin
1-5	☐ If no, are the original strategies being implemented with fidelity as designed?	Narrative	Stand Contin
1-5	o If yes, re-engage the problem solving process at Step 5, making edits as needed to Part II of the SIP.	Narrative	Stand Contin
1-5	o If no, engage in a problem solving process around implementation fidelity of the original plan, and make edits as desired to Part II of the SIP.		Stand Contin