FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

| School: | 2921 - Oakhurst Elementary School |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| District: | 52 - Pinellas |
| Principal: | Kelly Kennedy C |
| SAC Chair: | Mickey Schnoke |
| Superintendent: | Dr. Michael A Grego |
| School Board Approval Date: | [pending] |
| Last Modified on: | 10/11/2013 |



Pam Stewart, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

| | Physical | Mailing | |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Address: | 10535 137TH ST Largo, FL 33774 | 10535 137TH ST Largo, FL 33774 | |
| Phone Number: | 727-588-6801 | | |
| Web Address: | http://www.oakhurst-es.pinellas.k12.fl.us | | |
| Email Address: | 2921.principal@pcsb.org | | |

| School Type: | | Elementary School | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Alternative: | | No | | | |
| Charter: | | No | | | |
| Title I: | | No | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch: | | 36% | | | |
| Minority: | | 20% | | | |
| School Grade History: | <u>2012-13</u> A | | | | |

NOTE

Sections marked N/A in the online application have been excluded from this document. For privacy reasons, data representing fewer than 10 students or teachers have been excluded from this document

| School Information | |
|--|--|
| School-Level Information | |
| School Oakhurst Elementary | y School |
| Principal's name Kelly Kenr | nedy C |
| School Advisory Council c | hair's name Mickey Schnoke |
| Names and position titles of | of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT) |
| Name | Title |
| Kelly Kennedy | Principal |
| Cecilia Palmer | Assistant Principal |
| Mary Hart | Guidance Counselor |
| Tracey Sanders | Behavior Specialist |
| Delia Meros | Psychologist |
| Robin McManaway | Diagnostician |
| Stacy Whitacre | Social Worker |
| District-Level Information | |
| District Pinellas | |
| Superintendent's name Dr. | Michael A Grego |
| | - |
| Date of school board appro | oval of SIP Pending |
| School Advisory Council (SAC) | f Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b |
| School Advisory Council (SAC) This section meets the requirements o | f Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b he SAC including position titles ary chele Bardo, Chair |
| School Advisory Council (SAC) This section meets the requirements of Describe the membership of t Mickey Schnoke—SAC Chair Debbie Sawa-Szostak—Secreta Media Advisory Committee—Mic SAC Liaison to NEHS—Mike Wa Describe the involvement of th With the leadership of the school problem areas, develop improve | f Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b he SAC including position titles ary chele Bardo, Chair |
| School Advisory Council (SAC) This section meets the requirements of Describe the membership of t Mickey Schnoke—SAC Chair Debbie Sawa-Szostak—Secreta Media Advisory Committee—Mic SAC Liaison to NEHS—Mike Wat Describe the involvement of the With the leadership of the school problem areas, develop improve becomes available. SAC member final approval. Describe the activities of the S The Oakhurst School Advisory C support for professional develop | f Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b the SAC including position titles ary chele Bardo, Chair arren he SAC in the development of this school improvement plan of Principal, the Oakhurst SAC will review relevant data, identify ement strategies, and monitor implementation and results as data |

| will be identified based on data. | their end of year status as well as their l | beginning of the year assessment |
|---|---|--|
| | s in compliance with Section 1001.452 the School Advisory Council by selec | |
| If no, describe the measu | ures being taken to comply with SAC | requirements |
| Highly Qualified Staff This section meets the requirem 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). | ents of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1 | 1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at |
| Administrators | | |
| # Administrators 2 | | |
| # Receiving Effective | rating or higher (not entered because l | basis is < 10) |
| Administrator Informa Kelly Kennedy C | ation: | |
| Principal | Years as Administrator: 8 | Years at Current School: 8 |
| Credentials | BS Elementary Education MS Educational Leadership | |
| Performance Record | level in Reading (2013 Target 62% of students made annual the lowest 25% made annual students scored at or above g AMO 62% a difference of 2% learning gains in math, 79% of learning gains in math, 61% of grade level in writing and 59% science. 2011-2012 Grade B 65% of st level in Reading (2012 Target 65% of students made annual the lowest 25% made annual students scored at or above g AMO 58% - a difference of 7% learning gains in math, 42% of learning gains in math, 88% of | f students scored at or above grade t AMO 70% - a difference of 1%), al learning gains in reading, 60% of learning gains in reading. 60% of grade level in Math (2013 Target), 77% of students made annual of the lowest 25 % made annual of students scored at or above % scored at or above grade level in tudents scored at or above grade t AMO 67% - a difference of 2%), al learning gains in reading, 50% of learning gains in reading. 51% of grade level in Math (2012 Target %), 64% of students made annual of the lowest 25 % made annual of the lowest 25 % made annual of students scored at or above % scored at or above grade level in |

| | | | 1 | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| | Cecilia Palmer | | | | |
| | Asst Principal | Years as Administrator: 2 | Years at Current School: 2 | | |
| | Credentials | BS Elementary Education MS Educational Leadership ESOL Certified / Reading Endorsement | | | |
| | Performance Record | 2012-2103 Grade A – 69% of students scored at or above gradelevel in Reading (2013 Target AMO 70% - a difference of 1%), 62% of students made annual learning gains in reading, 60% of the lowest 25% made annual learning gains in reading. 60% of students scored at or above grade level in Math (2013 Target AMO 62% a difference of 2%), 77% of students made annual learning gains in math, 79% of the lowest 25% made annual learning gains in math. 61% of students scored at or above grade level in writing and 59% scored at or above grade level in science. 2011-2012 Grade B 65% of students scored at or above grade level in Reading (2012 Target AMO 67% - a difference of 2%), 65% of students made annual learning gains in reading, 50% of students scored at or above grade level in Reading (2012 Target AMO 67% - a difference of 2%), 65% of students made annual learning gains in reading, 50% of students made annual learning gains in reading. 51% of students scored at or above grade level in Math (2012 Target AMO 58% - a difference of 7%), 64% of students made annual learning gains in math. 88% of students scored at or above grade level in writing and 56% scored at or above grade level in science. | | | |
| Ins | structional Coaches | | | | |
| | # Instructional Coaches 1 | | | | |
| | # Receiving Effective rating or | higher (not entered because ba | sis is < 10) | | |
| | Instructional Coach Information | on: | | | |
| | Paige Michael | | | | |
| | Part-time / District-based | Years as Coach: 14 | Years at Current School: 1 | | |
| | Areas | Reading/Literacy | | | |
| | Credentials | Certification in Elementary Ed, I Endorsement; Advanced Degre | | | |
| | Performance Record | ТВА | | | |
| Cla | assroom Teachers | | | | |
| | # of classroom teachers 63 | | | | |
| | # receiving effective rating or | higher 0% | | | |
| | # Highly Qualified Teacher (HC | QT), as defined in 20 U.S.C. § 78 | 301(23) 100% | | |
| | # certified in-field, pursuant to | Section 1012.2315(2), F.S. 63, | 100% | | |
| | # ESOL endorsed 25, 40% | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | # reading endorsed 4, 6% |
|---|---|
| # | # with advanced degrees 38, 60% |
| # | # National Board Certified 1, 2% |
| # | # first-year teachers 7, 11% |
| # | # with 1-5 years of experience 14, 22% |
| # | # with 6-14 years of experience 21, 33% |
| # | # with 15 or more years of experience 21, 33% |
| Edu | ucation Paraprofessionals |
| \$ | # of paraprofessionals 0 |
| # | # Highly Qualified, as defined in 20 U.S.C. § 6319(c) 0 |
| This | cher Recruitment and Retention Strategies s section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 4(b). |
| e A c | Describe your school's strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school; include the person responsible. A beginning of the school year orientation for teachers new to Oakhurst will be held prior to school opening date. We will partner first year teachers and teachers new to Oakhurst with veteran staff members. This will be implemented by school administrators at the start of the school year as we as whenever necessary as new staff members are hired. |
| This | cher Mentoring Program/Plan s section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified 0 U.S.C. § 6314(b). |
| 2 7 8 0 8 | Describe your school's teacher mentoring program/plan including the rationale for pairing and the planned mentoring activities Trained mentors will be paired with mentee's based on grade level experience, level of subject area knowledge and school location. Mentors will conduct demonstration lessons and observation of mentee's instruction. Debriefing sessions will be conducted to discuss feedback. Mentors will also schedule planning sessions to assist with classroom management processes, curriculum esson planning, data analysis, and grading systems. |
| This se | Fiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl) ction meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b). |
| mor resc indi We mor wor inte | scribe your school's data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and nitoring of your MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, ource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and ividual student needs utilize the RtI problem solving process to review data, assess needs, determine interventions an nitor progress in order to develop and implement goals and objectives for the SIP. The SBLT will k to solidify processes for RtI at the school level and support teachers with data analysis and rvention implementation. The SBLT will be responsible for managing and coordinating these rts between all school teams as well as reviewing and revisiting the School Improvement Plan. |

| i | The role of the team is to assist in the continuous improvement of all academic and behavioral result at Oakhurst Elementary as outlined by our school improvement plan. -Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions |
|-----|---|
| | -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/ disaggregating) the data |
| | -Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data |
| | -Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely |
| | manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access |
| | -Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda |
| | Describe the systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP |
| | Our SBLT will conduct scheduled grade level data analysis meetings to analyze common assessme |
| | data and ongoing progress monitoring data. Based on performance results, student interventions/ |
| | instructional groups can be modified to better meet the needs of our struggling and/or enrichment students. |
| | Describe the data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to |
| | monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT which can be found on EDS, Portal, PMRN, and Florida DOE FCAT site. |
| | mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT |
| | mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT which can be found on EDS, Portal, PMRN, and Florida DOE FCAT site. Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based proble solving for staff and parents Continued professional development will be provided school-wide on the MTSS/Rtl process. Grade |
| | mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT which can be found on EDS, Portal, PMRN, and Florida DOE FCAT site. Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based proble solving for staff and parents Continued professional development will be provided school-wide on the MTSS/RtI process. Grade levels will continue to discuss data and student concerns during PLC's (Professional Learning |
| | mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT which can be found on EDS, Portal, PMRN, and Florida DOE FCAT site. Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based proble solving for staff and parents Continued professional development will be provided school-wide on the MTSS/RtI process. Grade levels will continue to discuss data and student concerns during PLC's (Professional Learning Communities) and during data chats. Additional assistance and resources will be provided through |
| | mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT which can be found on EDS, Portal, PMRN, and Florida DOE FCAT site. Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based proble solving for staff and parents Continued professional development will be provided school-wide on the MTSS/Rtl process. Grade levels will continue to discuss data and student concerns during PLC's (Professional Learning Communities) and during data chats. Additional assistance and resources will be provided through meetings with the individual teacher. District trainings are available via Moodle and Elluminate. |
| | mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT which can be found on EDS, Portal, PMRN, and Florida DOE FCAT site. Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based proble solving for staff and parents Continued professional development will be provided school-wide on the MTSS/Rtl process. Grade levels will continue to discuss data and student concerns during PLC's (Professional Learning Communities) and during data chats. Additional assistance and resources will be provided through meetings with the individual teacher. District trainings are available via Moodle and Elluminate. |
| | mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT which can be found on EDS, Portal, PMRN, and Florida DOE FCAT site. Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based proble solving for staff and parents Continued professional development will be provided school-wide on the MTSS/Rtl process. Grade levels will continue to discuss data and student concerns during PLC's (Professional Learning Communities) and during data chats. Additional assistance and resources will be provided through meetings with the individual teacher. District trainings are available via Moodle and Elluminate. Support for MTSS will be provided through regular attendance at SBLT, professional development for instructional staff and maintaining accountability through the teacher appraisal process. |
| Inc | mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance) Oakhurst will utilize a variety of data sources and data management systems in order to meet the needs of our students. These include common assessments, formative assessments, and FCAT which can be found on EDS, Portal, PMRN, and Florida DOE FCAT site. Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based proble solving for staff and parents Continued professional development will be provided school-wide on the MTSS/Rtl process. Grade levels will continue to discuss data and student concerns during PLC's (Professional Learning Communities) and during data chats. Additional assistance and resources will be provided through meetings with the individual teacher. District trainings are available via Moodle and Elluminate. Support for MTSS will be provided through regular attendance at SBLT, professional development for |

and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

Strategy: Before or After School Program

Minutes added to school year: 4,320

Strategy Purpose(s)

Instruction in core academic subjects, Enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education

Strategy Description

Identified students in 4th and 5th grade will attend an Extended Learning Program for remedial and/ or enrichment support in the area of math for one hour 3 times a week. Third grade students who need additional support in reading will be in a separate Extended Learning Program for one hour after school 3 times a week.

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Initial needs will be determined through Pinellas Common Assessment testing, FAIR testing, 3rd Grade Portfolio Assessments and through the use of classroom formative assessments. Small group researched based interventions will be delivered by highly qualified teachers. During the Extended Learning program, ongoing progress monitoring performance data will be collected in regular cycles and used to regroup students.

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Principal, Assistant Principal, Extended Learning Program Teachers hired

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

| Name | Title |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| Kelley Kennedy | Principal |
| Cecilia Palmer | Assistant Principal |
| Sally Ewald | 1st Grade Teacher |
| Melissa Gonzales | Kindergarten Teacher |
| Alison Schellenbach | 3rd Grade Teacher |
| Barbara Jenkins | 3rd Grade Teacher |

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes, roles, functions)

The function of the Literacy Leadership Team is to work within the goal of the School Improvement Plan and establish a literacy vision for the school. By doing this we will be better able to assess and determine

professional development needs/opportunities to ensure cohesiveness of instruction across grade levels.

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- * Support for text complexity
- * Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- * Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
- * Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
- * Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
- * Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
- * Providing extensive research, reading and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- * Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies,
- Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).
- The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Support for the implementation of the Common Core State Standard for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects including....

*Instructional support for text complexity and text dependent questioning

*Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension

*Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards

Preschool Transition

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(G) and 1115(c)(1)(D), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe strategies for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs, as applicable

Oakhurst conducts a Transition to Kidergarten evening for parents and students entering kindergarten each year. Daily routines and expecations are shared along with a tours of classrooms to help students and parents become familiar with their new setting. Communication folders are used daily between parents and teachers. This year an end of the year parent meeting is planned to share Kindergarten curriculum expectations with incoming students as well as preschools in our zoned area.

College and Career Readiness

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school promote academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful?

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>, which is maintained by the Department of Education, pursuant to Rule 6A-10.038, F.A.C

Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Area 1: Reading

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

| Group | 2013 Target % | 2013 Actual % | Target Met? | 2014 Target % |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| All Students | 70% | 69% | No | 73% |
| American Indian | | | | |
| Asian | | 91% | | 1% |
| Black/African American | 33% | 53% | No | 1% |
| Hispanic | 65% | 47% | No | 69% |
| White | 74% | 69% | No | 77% |
| English language learners | | 13% | | 1% |
| Students with disabilities | 60% | 36% | No | 64% |
| Economically disadvantaged | 54% | 48% | No | 59% |
| Florida Comprehensive Asses | ssment Test 2.0 (F | CAT 2.0) | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
| Students scoring at Achievement L | _evel 3 | 111 | 32% | 35% |
| Students scoring at or above Achie | evement Level 4 | 124 | 35% | 38% |
| Florida Alternate Assessment | (FAA) | | | |
| i londa Alternate Assessment | | | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, ar | | | 2013 Actual % privacy reasons] | 2014 Target % 30% |

Learning Gains

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA) | 126 | 36% | 40% |
| Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0) | | 60% | 64% |

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | [data excluded fo | r privacy reasons] | 54% |
| Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students) | [data excluded fo | r privacy reasons] | 25% |
| Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | [data excluded fo | r privacy reasons] | 20% |

| | | 2012 Actual # | 2012 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| On-time graduates scoring "college re Postsecondary Education Readiness any college placement test authorized | Test (P.E.R.T.) or | | | |
| 6A-10.0315, F.A.C. | | | | |
| Area 2: Writing | | | | |
| | : | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5 | | 75 | 62% | 70% |
| Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Stud or above Level 4 | lents scoring at [| data excluded for _l | privacy reasons] | |
| Area 3: Mathematics | | | | |
| Elementary and Middle School M | lathematics | | | |
| Annual Measurable Objective on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assess | | | | ement Level |
| Group | 2013 Target % | 2013 Actual % | Target Met? | 2014 Target |
| All Students | 62% | 60% | No | 66% |
| American Indian | | | | |
| Asian | | 73% | | 1% |
| Black/African American | 22% | 24% | No | 1% |
| Hispanic | 55% | 33% | No | 60% |
| White | 66% | 62% | No | 69% |
| English language learners | | 0% | | |
| Students with disabilities | 44% | 38% | No | 50% |
| Economically disadvantaged | 48% | 44% | No | 54% |
| Florida Comprehensive Asses | ssment Test 2.0 (| (FCAT 2.0) | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Achievement I | _evel 3 | 112 | 32% | 38% |
| Students scoring at or above Achie | evement Level 4 | 91 | 26% | 28% |
| Florida Alternate Assessment | t (FAA) | | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, ar | nd 6 | [data excluded fo | or privacy reasons] | 55% |
| Students scoring at or above Leve | 17 | [data excluded fo | or privacy reasons] | 45% |
| Learning Gains | | | | |
| | | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target 9 |
| Learning Gains | | | 77% | |
| Students in lowest 25% making lea | arning gains (FCAT | | 79% | |

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
|--|--|--|--|
| Middle school participation in high school EOC and industry certifications | | | |
| Middle school performance on high school EOC and industry certifications | | | |
| Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | | | |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | | | |
| Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | | | |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | | | |
| rea 4: Science | | | |
| Elementary School Science | | | |
| | | | |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 | (FCAT 2.0) | | |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 | (FCAT 2.0) 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | . , | 2013 Actual % 32% | 2014 Target 36% |
| | 2013 Actual # | | |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 2013 Actual # 33 | 32% | 36% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 2013 Actual # 33 | 32% | 36% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # | 32% 25% | 36% 29% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded for | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % | 36% 29% 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded for | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % or privacy reasons] | 36% 29% 2014 Target 30% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded fo [data excluded fo | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % or privacy reasons] | 36% 29% 2014 Target 30% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded fo [data excluded fo | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % or privacy reasons] | 36% 29% 2014 Target 30% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % or privacy reasons] or privacy reasons] | 36% 29% 2014 Target 30% 50% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % or privacy reasons] or privacy reasons] | 36% 29% 2014 Target 30% 50% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % or privacy reasons] or privacy reasons] | 36% 29% 2014 Target 30% 50% |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % or privacy reasons] or privacy reasons] | 36% 29% 2014 Target 30% 50% 2014 Target |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 Students scoring at or above Level 7 Middle School Science Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 2013 Actual # 33 25 2013 Actual # [data excluded for [data excluded for [data excluded for 2013 Actual # | 32% 25% 2013 Actual % or privacy reasons] or privacy reasons] 2013 Actual % | 36% 29% 2014 Target 30% 50% |

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Targe |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| # of STEM-related experiences provided for students | | | |
| (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) | | | |
| Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students | | | |
| Area 6: Career and Technical Education (CTE) | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses | | | |
| Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more <i>accelerated</i> courses | | | |
| Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in accelerated courses | | | |
| Students taking CTE industry certification exams | | | |
| Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams | | | |
| CTE program concentrators | | | |
| CTE teachers holding appropriate industry certifications | | | |
| Area 8: Early Warning Systems | | | |
| Elementary School Indicators | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time | 64 | 8% | 5% |
| Students retained, pursuant to s. 1008.25, F.S. | 10 | 1% | 0% |
| Students who are not proficient in reading by third grade | 39 | 31% | 20% |
| Students who receive two or more behavior referrals | 51 | 6% | 5% |
| Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that lead to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S. | 26 | 3% | 2% |
| Middle School Indicators | | | |
| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
| Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time | | | |
| Students who fail a mathematics course | | | |
| Students who fail an English Language Arts course | | | |
| Students who fail two or more courses in any subject | | | |
| Students who receive two or more behavior referrals | | | |
| Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S. | | | |
| Area 9: Parent Involvement | | | |
| Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to mee | t the requirements | s of Sections 1114(b |)(1)(F) and |

Oakhurst Elementary maintains frequent home-school communication in a varity of formats and allows for families to support, supervise and participate in their child's educational progress. Multiple opportunities are available through the school, PTA and SAC which invite parents to attend events along with their students. FOCUS is one means of communication that is available electronically.

| Targat | 2012 Actual # | 2042 A atual 9/ | 2014 Target |
|--|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Target | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target 9 |
| Increase the number of parents logging into FOCUS. | 237 | 60% | 65% |
| Area 10: Additional Targets | | | |
| | | | |
| Description of additional targets | | | |
| Specific Additional Targets | | | |

Goals Summary

Goal #1:

Increase the percentage of African American students that meet grade level expectations in Reading from 33% to 39% and in Math from 22% to 30%.

Goal #2:

Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher from 57% to 65% in Science as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 41% to 35%.

Goal #3:

Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Reading from 69% to 73% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 1 and Level 2 students from 31% to 27%.

Goal #4:

Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3.5 and above in Writing from 61% to 71%. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 3 or below from 39% to 29%.

Goal #5:

Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Math from 60% to 66% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 40% to 34%.

Goals Detail

| Goal #1: | Increase the percentage of African American students that meet grade level expectations in Reading from 33% to 39% and in Math from 22% to 30%. |
|---|---|
| Targets Supported | Reading Reading - AMO's Reading - FCAT2.0 Math Math - Elementary and Middle School Math - Elementary and Middle AMO's Math - Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0 |
| Resources Available to Support the Goal | Data sources include FCAT 2.0 2012-2013 data, Common Assessments, Mid Year Report, Formative Assessments, Ongoing Progress Monitoring Researched Based Interventions, ELP Personnel |
| Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Action:

Analyze common assessment data and ongoing progress monitoring data, as well as classroom performance data.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Following each common assessment cycle

Evidence of Completion:

Data Chats, Teacher Appraisals

| Goal #2: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher from 57% to 65% in Science as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 41% to 35%. |
|---|---|
| Targets Supported | ScienceScience - Elementary School |
| Resources Available to Support the Goal | Data sources to measure improvement will include District Science Assessment data and formative assessments. |
| Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| | Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal |

Action:

Analyze common assessment data and ongoing progress monitoring data, as well as classroom performance data

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Following each common assessment cycle

Evidence of Completion:

Data Chats, Teacher Appraisals

| Goal #3: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Reading from 69% to 73% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 1 and Level 2 students from 31% to 27%. |
|------------------|--|
| Targets Supporte | ed • Reading |
| | Reading - AMO's |
| | Reading - FCAT2.0 |
| | Reading - Learning Gains |
| | |

| Resources Available to Support the Goal | Researched Based Intervention Materials, ELRP Personnel, Reading Coaches, Demonstration Teachers Data Sources including previous FCAT Data, FAIR Assessments, running records, mid year reports, formative assessments, ongoing progress monitoring |
|---|--|
| Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal | Insufficient standard based instruction |
| | Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal |
| Action: Analyze common as performance data. | ssessment data and ongoing progress monitoring data, as well as classroom |
| Person or Persons Administrators, Teac | Responsible: chers, Instructional Coaches |
| Target Dates or Sci Following each com | hedule: mon assessment cycle |
| Evidence of Compl Data Chats, Teacher | |
| Goal #4: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3.5 and above in Writing from 61% to 71%. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 3 or below from 39% to 29%. |
| Targets Supported | • Writing |
| Resources Available to Support the Goal | Data sources including previous FCAT Writing data, weekly writer's notebook quantity and quality checks, Bi-weekly prompted assessments, District Writing Assessment and mid-year report. |
| Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal | Insufficient standard based instruction. |
| | Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal |
| Action: Analyze common as performance data. | ssessment data and ongoing progress monitoring data, as well as classroom |
| Person or Persons Administrators, Teac | Responsible: chers, Instructional Coaches |
| Target Dates or Sci Following each Com | hedule: nmon Assessment cycle |
| Evidence of Compl Data Chats, Teacher | |
| Goal #5: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Math from 60% to 66% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 40% to 34%. |

| • | Math - Elementary and Middle School Math - Elementary and Middle AMO's Math - Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0 |
|---|--|
| Available to | Researched Based Interventions Materials, ELP Personnel, Demonstration Teachers Data sources include previous FCAT 2.0 Data, District Math Assessments, Mid Year Report, formative Assessments, Ongoing Progress Monitoring |
| Targeted Barriers • to Achieving the Goal | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| | Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal |
| Action: Analyze common assessment data and ongoing progress monitoring data, as well as classroom performance data. | |
| Person or Persons Responsible: Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches | |
| Target Dates or Schedule: Following each common assessment cycle | |
| Evidence of Completion: Data Chats, Teacher Appraisals | |
| tion Plan for Improven | nent |

| Goal #1: | Increase the percentage of African American students that meet grade level expectations in Reading from 33% to 39% and in Math from 22% to 30%. |
|--|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier | All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies, |
| St | tep #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity |
| Action: Provide training to practices for teachi | instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best ng math. |
| Person or Person Teachers, Administ | s Responsible: trators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers |
| Target Dates or So Daily / Monthly | chedule: |
| Evidence of Comp Professional Devel | oletion: opment Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP |
| Facilitator: | |
| Participants: Teachers, Administ | rators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers |

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #1

Action:

Classroom instructional strategies and best practices for teaching reading and math.

Person or Persons Responsible: Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule: Daily / Weekly

Evidence of Completion Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #1

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Walk-throughs, Observations and Teacher Appraisal Results

| Goal #1: | Increase the percentage of African American students that meet grade level expectations in Reading from 33% to 39% and in Math from 22% to 30%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| Strategy #2 to Overcome the Barrier | Provide extended learning opportunities for students to increase levels of performance. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #2 - Budget Item

Action:

Organize before and/or after school learning programs for reading and math improvement.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily

Evidence of Completion:

Extended Learning attendance data, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #1

Action:

After school learning program implemented daily for identified students in need of math support and/or enrichment.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily

Evidence of Completion

Extended Learning Schedule, Extended Learning Class Lists

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #1

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators

Target Dates or Schedule:

Weekly / Following Cycles of Common Assessment Testing

Evidence of Completion:

Common Assessment Data, Data Chats, Observe Student Work

| Goal #2: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher from 57% to 65% in Science as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 41% to 35%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier | All teachers will be trained in effective instructional strategies for teaching science including the 5 E's Model. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

Action:

Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching science.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP

Facilitator:

Participants:

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #2

Action:

Classroom instructional strategies and best practices for teaching science.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly

Evidence of Completion

Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #2

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Walk-throughs, Observations and Teacher Appraisal Results

| Goal #2: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher from 57% to 65% in Science as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 41% to 35%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| Strategy #2 to Overcome the Barrier | Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #2 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

Action:

Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Professional Development Schedule, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans

Facilitator:

Learning Specialists, Administrators, Professional Developer

Participants:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #2

Action:

Coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrator, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion

Observation reflections, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #2

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Observations, Walk-throughs, Lesson Plans, Teacher Appraisals

| Goal #2: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher from 57% to 65% in Science as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 41% to 35%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| Strategy #3 to Overcome the Barrier | Increase the use of student journal responses in the science notebook and participation in the school science fair. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #3 - PD Opportunity

Action:

Modeling and scoring science journal responses using the scientific notebook rubric. Scaffold and provide additional support in the development of science inquiry projects.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly

Evidence of Completion:

Rubrics, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations

Facilitator:

Learning Specialist, District Science training

Participants:

Teachers

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #3 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #2

Action:

Daily science notebook entries to support science learning.

Person or Persons Responsible: Administrators, Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule: Daily / Weekly

Evidence of Completion

Walk-throughs, Lesson Plans, Observations

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #3 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #2

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators

Target Dates or Schedule: Monthly Evidence of Completion:

Common Assessment Data, Data Chats, Observe Student Work

| Goal #3: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Reading from 69% to 73% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 1 and Level 2 students from 31% to 27%. |
|---|--|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient standard based instruction |
| Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier | All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies for teaching reading. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

Action:

Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching reading.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Professional Developers

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP

Facilitator:

Reading Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrator

Participants:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Professional Developers

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

Action:

Classroom instructional strategies and best practices for teaching reading.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly

Evidence of Completion

Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Walkthroughs, Observations and Teacher Appraisal Results

| Goal #3: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Reading from 69% to 73% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 1 and Level 2 students from 31% to 27%. |
|---|--|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient standard based instruction |
| Strategy #2 to Overcome the Barrier | Provide opportunities for teachers to ovserve each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #2 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

Action:

Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Reading Coach

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Professional Development Schedule, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans

Facilitator:

Reading Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrators

Participants:

Teachers, Administrators, Reading Coach

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

Action:

Coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion

Observation reflections, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Reading Coach

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Observations, Walk-throughs, Lesson Plans, Teacher Apraisals

| Goal #3: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Reading from 69% to 73% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 1 and Level 2 students from 31% to 27%. |
|---|--|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient standard based instruction |
| Strategy #3 to Overcome the Barrier | Provide extended learning opportunities for students to increase level of performance. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #3 - Budget Item

Action:

Organize before and/or after school learning programs for student reading improvement.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily

Evidence of Completion:

Extended Learning attendance data, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, observations

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #3 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

Action:

After school learning program implemented daily for identified students in need of reading support and/ or enhancement.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule: Daily

Evidence of Completion

Extended Learning schedule, Extended Learning class lists

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #3 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Reading Coach

Target Dates or Schedule:

Weekly / Following Cycles of Common Assessment Testing

Evidence of Completion:

Common Assessment Data, Data Chats, Observe Student Work

| Goal #4: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3.5 and above in Writing from 61% to 71%. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 3 or below from 39% to 29%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient standard based instruction. |
| Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier | All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies for teaching writing. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - PD Opportunity

Action:

Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching reading.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Professional Developers

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP

Facilitator:

Literacy Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrators

Participants:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Professional Developers

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #4

Action:

Classroom instructional strategies and best practices for teaching writing.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly

Evidence of Completion

Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #4

Action:

Person or Persons Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule: Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion: Walk-throughs, Observations, Teacher Appraisal Results, FCAT Writing Data

| Goal #4: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3.5 and above in Writing from 61% to 71%. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 3 or below from 39% to 29%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient standard based instruction. |
| Strategy #2 to Overcome the Barrier | Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's with an emphasis on teachers new to 4th grade. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #2 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

Action:

Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coach

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Professional Development Schedule, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans

Facilitator:

Literacy Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrators

Participants:

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coach

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #4

Action:

Coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Literacy Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion

Observation reflections, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #4

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Literacy Coach

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Observations, Walk-throughs, Lesson Plans, Teacher Appraisals

| Goal #4: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3.5 and above in Writing from 61% to 71%. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 3 or below from 39% to 29%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient standard based instruction. |
| Strategy #3 to Overcome the Barrier | Study student writing work as a grade level team during PLC. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #3 - PD Opportunity

Action:

Collaborative study of scoring writing.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Literacy Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Weekly / Monthly (Dedicate one Monthly PLC to study student writing work as a grade level team.

Evidence of Completion:

PLC Notes

Facilitator:

Literacy Coach, Learning Specialist

Participants:

Teachers, Literacy Coaches

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #3 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #4

Action:

Teachers to plan and score collaboratively

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Literacy Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion

PLC Notes, Observation Reflections, Walk-throughs

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #3 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #4

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Literacy Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Observations, Walk-throughs, Lesson Plans, Teacher Appraisals

| Goal #5: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Math from 60% to 66% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 40% to 34%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier | All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies for teaching math. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

Action:

Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching math.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP

Facilitator:

Literacy Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrators

Participants:

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #5

Action:

Classroom instructional strategies and best practices for teaching math.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly

Evidence of Completion

Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #5

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Walk-throughs, Observations and Teacher Appraisal Results

| Goal #5: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Math from 60% to 66% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 40% to 34%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| Strategy #2 to Overcome the Barrier | Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #2 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

Action:

Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Professional Development Schedule, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans

Facilitator:

Learning Specialists, Administrators, Professional Developer

Participants:

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #5

Action:

Coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion

Observation reflections, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #5

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily / Weekly / Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Observations, Walk-throughs, Lesson Plans, Teacher Appraisals

| Goal #5: | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Math from 60% to 66% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 40% to 34%. |
|---|---|
| Barrier #1: | Insufficient Standard Based Instruction |
| Strategy #3 to Overcome the Barrier | Provide extended learning opportunities for students to increase levels of performance. |

Step #1 to Implement Strategy #3 - Budget Item

Action:

Organize before and/or after school learning programs for students math improvement.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule:

Daily

Evidence of Completion:

Extended Learning attendance data, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #3 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #5

Action:

After school learning program implemented daily for identified students in need of math support and/or enrichment.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Administrators, Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule: Daily

Evidence of Completion

Extended Learning Schedule, Extended Learning Class Lists

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #3 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #5

Action:

*Learning goals are aligned with common core standards *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the common core standards and take the cognitive complexity of the grade level into consideration. *Instruction includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal, the purpose for learning, and expected outcomes. *Rubrics related to the learning goal is posted and referred to. *Explicit instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with teacher support and feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning. *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students learning and provide regular and timely feedback regarding personal progress through the learning cycle. *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.

Person or Persons Responsible:

Teachers, Administrators

Target Dates or Schedule: Weekly / Following Cycles of Common Assessment Testing

Evidence of Completion:

Common Assessment Data, Data Chats, Observe Student Work

Coordination and Integration

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe how federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include Title I, Part A; Title I, Part C Migrant; Title I, Part D; Title II; Title III; Title VI, Part B; Title X Homeless; Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI); violence prevention programs; nutrition programs; housing programs; Head Start; adult education; CTE; and job training, as applicable to your school

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all

children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals:

Goal #1: Increase the percentage of African American students that meet grade level expectations in Reading from 33% to 39% and in Math from 22% to 30%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient Standard Based Instruction

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies,

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching math.

Facilitator leader

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers

Target dates or schedule

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP (Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers)

Goal #2: Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher from 57% to 65% in Science as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 41% to 35%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient Standard Based Instruction

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective instructional strategies for teaching science including the 5 E's Model.

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching science.

Facilitator leader

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers

Target dates or schedule

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP (Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers)

Strategy #2: Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's.

Action Step #1: Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Facilitator leader

Learning Specialists, Administrators, Professional Developer

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target dates or schedule

Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Schedule, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans (Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches)

Strategy #3: Increase the use of student journal responses in the science notebook and participation in the school science fair.

Action Step #1: Modeling and scoring science journal responses using the scientific notebook rubric. Scaffold and provide additional support in the development of science inquiry projects.

Facilitator leader

Learning Specialist, District Science training

Participants Teachers

Target dates or schedule

Daily / Weekly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Rubrics, Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Observations (Person Responsible: Teachers)

Goal #3: Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Reading from 69% to 73% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 1 and Level 2 students from 31% to 27%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient standard based instruction

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies for teaching reading.

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching reading.

Facilitator leader Reading Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrator

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Professional Developers

Target dates or schedule

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP (Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Professional Developers)

Strategy #2: Provide opportunities for teachers to ovserve each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's.

Action Step #1: Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Facilitator leader

Reading Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrators

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Reading Coach

Target dates or schedule

Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Schedule, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans (Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Reading Coach)

Goal #4: Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3.5 and above in Writing from 61% to 71%. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 3 or below from 39% to 29%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient standard based instruction.

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies for teaching writing.

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching reading.

Facilitator leader

Literacy Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrators

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Professional Developers

Target dates or schedule

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP (Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Professional Developers)

Strategy #2: Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's with an emphasis on teachers new to 4th grade.

Action Step #1: Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Facilitator leader

Literacy Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrators

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coach

Target dates or schedule

Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Schedule, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans

(Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coach)

Strategy #3: Study student writing work as a grade level team during PLC.

Action Step #1: Collaborative study of scoring writing.

Facilitator leader

Literacy Coach, Learning Specialist

Participants

Teachers, Literacy Coaches

Target dates or schedule

Weekly / Monthly (Dedicate one Monthly PLC to study student writing work as a grade level team.

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

PLC Notes

(Person Responsible: Teachers, Literacy Coaches)

Goal #5: Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Math from 60% to 66% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 40% to 34%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient Standard Based Instruction

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies for teaching math.

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching math.

Facilitator leader

Literacy Coach, Learning Specialist, Administrators

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers

Target dates or schedule

Daily / Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Calendar, Observations, Walk-throughs, Teacher Appraisals, IPDP (Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Literacy Coaches, Professional Developers)

Strategy #2: Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's.

Action Step #1: Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Facilitator leader

Learning Specialists, Administrators, Professional Developer

Participants

Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches

Target dates or schedule

Monthly

Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Professional Development Schedule, PLC Notes, Lesson Plans

(Person Responsible: Teachers, Administrators, Instructional Coaches)

| Budget S | ummary by Goal | | | |
|----------------------|---|--------------------|-----|---------|
| Goal | Description | | | Total |
| Goal #3 | Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 of 69% to 73% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. students scoring a Level 1 and Level 2 students from 3 | Decrease the nu | • | \$3,000 |
| | Total | | | \$3,000 |
| | | | | φ0,000 |
| | ummary by Resource Type and Funding Source Type | Evidence- Based | | Total |
| Budget S Resource | | Evidence- | \$0 | |

Budget Detail

Total

Goal #1: Increase the percentage of African American students that meet grade level expectations in Reading from 33% to 39% and in Math from 22% to 30%.

\$3,000

\$0

\$3,000

Barrier #1: Insufficient Standard Based Instruction

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies,

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching math.

Resource Type

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed

Strategy #2: Provide extended learning opportunities for students to increase levels of performance.

Action Step #1: Organize before and/or after school learning programs for reading and math improvement.

Resource Type Resource Funding Source Amount Needed **Goal #2:** Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher from 57% to 65% in Science as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 41% to 35%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient Standard Based Instruction

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective instructional strategies for teaching science including the 5 E's Model.

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching science.

Resource Type

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed

Strategy #2: Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's.

Action Step #1: Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Resource Type

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed

Goal #3: Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Reading from 69% to 73% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 1 and Level 2 students from 31% to 27%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient standard based instruction

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies for teaching reading.

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching reading.

Resource TypeEvidence-Based ProgramResourceExtended Learning Program, Intervention Resources, TeachersFunding SourceSIPAmount Needed\$3,000

Strategy #2: Provide opportunities for teachers to ovserve each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's.

Action Step #1: Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Resource Type

Resource Casual Cafe book Funding Source

Amount Needed

Strategy #3: Provide extended learning opportunities for students to increase level of performance. **Action Step #1:** Organize before and/or after school learning programs for student reading improvement.

Resource Type

Resource TDE's

Funding Source Amount Needed

Goal #4: Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3.5 and above in Writing from 61% to 71%. Decrease the number of students scoring a Level 3 or below from 39% to 29%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient standard based instruction.

Strategy #2: Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's with an emphasis on teachers new to 4th grade.

Action Step #1: Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Resource Type

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed

Goal #5: Increase the percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or above in Math from 60% to 66% as measured by the 2013-2014 FCAT 2.0. Decrease the percentage of students scoring a Level 1 or 2 from 40% to 34%.

Barrier #1: Insufficient Standard Based Instruction

Strategy #1: All teachers will be trained in effective common core instructional strategies for teaching math.

Action Step #1: Provide training to instructional staff on Common Core and effective instructional strategies and best practices for teaching math.

Resource Type

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed

Strategy #2: Provide opportunities for teachers to observe each other implementing best practices through the use of TDE's.

Action Step #1: Schedule TDE's and/or coverage for teachers to observe and plan collaboratively.

Resource Type

Resource

Funding Source

Amount Needed

Strategy #3: Provide extended learning opportunities for students to increase levels of performance.

Action Step #1: Organize before and/or after school learning programs for students math improvement.

Resource Type Resource Funding Source

Amount Needed